

In accordance with notice to members of the Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council, a meeting was held on Tuesday, June 22, 2010 at 6:00 p.m. in Conference Room A, Administration Building, One Capitol Hill, Providence, RI.

**MEMBERS PRESENT**

Michael M. Tikoian, Chair  
Paul Lemont, Vice Chair  
Raymond Coia  
Bruce Dawson  
Donald Gomez  
Ronald Gagnon, DEM  
Robert Driscoll

**STAFF PRESENT**

Grover J. Fugate, Executive Director  
Jeffrey M. Willis, Deputy Director  
David Reis, Spv Environmental Scientist  
Tracy Silvia, Senior Environmental Scientist  
Laura Ricketson Dwyer, Public Education/Outreach Coord  
Brian Goldman, Legal Counsel

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Tikoian called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and made opening statement.

**2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:**

Motion made by Mr. Coia, seconded by Vice Chair Lemont, to approve the minutes of the previous meetings.

**3. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS**

Nothing to report

**4. STAFF REPORTS**

Mr. Fugate notified the Council of the final meeting of the OSAMP Stakeholder Group to be held on June 30, 2010 at 6:00 p.m.

Chair Tikoian stated that there had not been a selection of Council members as of yet to address the 312 Evaluation Process. Chair Tikoian stated that the committee will be kept to a group of three and asked for volunteers amongst the Council members. Chair Tikoian stated that interest came from Council members Don Gomez, David Abedon and himself and that the drafted response would be ultimately approved by all Council members prior to sending. Mr. Willis stated that the deadline for response was July 9, 2010 and Chair Tikoian asked Mr. Willis to draft a request to extend the deadline date.

**5. APPLICATIONS WHICH HAVE BEEN OUT TO NOTICE FOR 30 DAYS AND ARE BEFORE THE FULL COUNCIL FOR DECISION:**

**2008-06-098 MICHAEL & DORIS MULLANEY** – Maintain a 40' L by approx. 15' W house boat, at CRMC-approved marina facility ("Downtown Marina"). Located at plat 18, lot 4; 515 South Water Street, Providence, RI.

Michael and Doris Mullaney were present. Mr. Reis gave brief overview of the project stating that the applicant's wished to maintain a previously unauthorized houseboat at a downtown marina in Providence and that a notice of violation (07-0104) was issued in 2008. Mr. Reis stated that this is the first application for a houseboat that's been before the Council. Mr. Reis stated that according to Section 300.5 of the RICRMP, houseboats can be authorized within the boundaries of a CRMC approved marina which this houseboat is location and also has an approval from RIDEM for the sewage generated from the houseboat. Mr. Reis stated that there were no staff objections to the application.

Mr. and Mrs. Mullaney are sworn in. Mr. Gomez asks the applicants if they are aware of the staff stipulations at which point they are given a copy by Mr. Reis. Mr. Mullaney asked questions about the stipulation of bringing application back before the Council in 2037. Mr. Reis stated that the Marina permit expires in 2037 and would all have to be renewed. Vice Chair Lemont asked the Mullaney's what their houseboat is powered by to which the Mullaney's answered that the houseboat is a towable barge. Vice Chair Lemont stated his concern for adverse weather conditions such as hurricanes. The Mullaney's stated that they felt very secure in their position even during extreme weather conditions. Vice Chair Lemont relayed his unease at starting a new precedent. Vice Chair Lemont expressed his concern regarding their barge needing to be towed in order to move it. The Mullaney's stated that they will be residents of Providence. Mr. Dawson asked Mr. Reis why this is coming to the Council now from an action in 2008. Mr. Reis stated that since 2008 after the enforcement situation was cleared, CRMC staff has been working with DEM on how to best treat the sewage. Mr. Reis stated that what was holding DEM us was that the gray water discharge was being discharged over and toilet waste was going to a blackwater tank. Mr. Reis stated that DEM and CRMC both agreed that gray water should also be captured and once the authorizations were put into place, DEM determined consistency with their regulations. Mr. Dawson then asked Mr. Reis if the owners of the house boat would be held responsible for salvage or derelict vessel and by what means? Mr. Reis stated that CRMC stipulations don't provide for a method of doing that and that the Council could employ methods to create some liability in that respect. Mr. Dawson asked the Mullaney's if they would be posting a bond in the event that removal was necessary. Mr. Reis reads out RICRMP Section 300.5 for the record. Mr. Willis stated that this would not be allowed in coastal ponds for in Type 2 waters. Vice Chair Lemont asked the Mullaney's about maintenance of the under boat. The Mullaney's stated that they never have to take care of the bottom of the boat; that the boat is glass and wood and that it is cabled so it never moves similar to a dock. Mr. Mullaney stated that whatever grows on the bottom of the boat protects it. Chair Tikoian stated that one stipulation he would like to add to the assent is that the Assent not be transferrable unless it was to be deeded to an immediate family member. Mrs. Mullaney stated that they have two insurance policies, one for the barge itself and one for the house for liability not for replacement value. The particulars of performance bonds are discussed between Mr. Goldman and the Mullaney's. Mr. Willis clarified to Council that this application is a Category B application. Mr. Dawson, seconded by Mr. Gomez, motioned to approve the application stipulating that it is nontraferrable other than to immediate family or a new assent is necessary; also stipulating that a performance bond be submitted to CRMC prior to issuance of assent. Motion carried with one vote in opposition

**2009-10-110 FRANKLIN & ELAINE GRAY --** Construct and maintain a residential boating facility consisting of a 4' wide by 108' long fixed timber pier leading to a 3' x 20' ramp that leads to a 150 s.f. terminal float. The proposed structure is to extend 75 feet seaward of the cited Mean Low Water mark. A variance to the RICRMP dock length standard is requested. Located at plat 133, lot 60; 60 Smith Street, Bristol, RI.

Mrs. Gray is present as well as her attorney Bruce Cox. Ron Blanchard also present for applicant. Ms. Silvia gives brief overview of the application to the Council stating that the dock proposes to extend 75 feet beyond MLW to obtain -3' of water at MLW. Ms. Silvia stated that the boating facility meets standard construction under RICRMP Section 300.4 and that there is coastal wetland below the facility. Ms. Silvia stated that the facility is elevated and that is no SAV at this location. Ms. Silvia stated that the facility provides for lateral access below the structure. The application was put out to public notice in December and received several neighborhood objections similar in content and also from the Kickemuit River Council. Ms. Silvia stated having information discussions with the Planning Department of the Town and there was no comment forth coming. Ms. Silvia stated that the Town of Bristol did not respond in writing with any concerns during the public notice process and that CRMC staff had not technical objections. Ms. Silvia stated that there was an addendum to the staff report as an oversight occurred with the mooring field variance and the length variance. Ms. Silvia stated that the whole shoreline along the western edge of the mooring field is within the mooring field, so there's no way that the applicant's can be 50 feet off of the mooring field. Ms. Silvia stated that the engineering firm was contacted and submitted appropriate written variance criteria and CRMC staff contacted the Town Harbor Commission Chair who indicated that they had no issues with any of the concerns that were raised and the Town was not going to respond.

Attorney Bruce Cox spoke on behalf of Elaine Gray also stating that Doug Dahl, Mrs. Gray's son-in-law, was present. Mr. Cox stated that Section 120 criteria had been met and that the hardship is not one brought on by the applicant but by the site location. Mr. Cox stated that the water body in this area is very shallow which is why the Gray's are requesting to extend to the 75-foot length. Mr. Cox explained about the town's mooring field stating that every dock in the Kickemuit needs a variance as the mooring field goes to the shoreline. Mr. Dawson asked what kind of boat would be using the dock. Mrs. Gray, Mr. Blanchard and Mr. Dahl are sworn in. Mr. Dahl, the Gray's son-in-law who owns property across from the Grays, stated that he owned a 21-foot motor boat currently moored in the Kickemuit and that it draws a foot and half of water and with the engine down it draws two to three feet. Mr. Blanchard confirmed that there are five low voltage lights on the dock for safety purposes at night. Mr. Gomez asked about lateral access. Mr. Blanchard confirmed that there was a five-foot passage which Mr. Fugate stated was the minimum. Mr. Dawson asked about the circumstances of the mooring field. Ms. Silvia stated that although they are in the mooring field, they are at least 100-feet away from any mooring. Mr. Cox stated that there is a letter of support in the file from the abutters immediately to the south of the Gray's property. Mr. Dawson questioned whether the Harbor Management Plan should be adjusted in this area. Ms. Silvia stated that the Harbor Management Plan was valid for another year and that at the time of renewal a revision could be made which was confirmed by Mr. Willis stating that the CRMC staff members worked very closely with the Harbor Commission Chair for local approval. Mr. Reis stated that staff has made CRMC staff member Kevin Cute, who processes the Harbor Management Plans for the coastal towns, aware of the inconsistencies

and have been or will be corrected. Mr. Dawson expresses that he would like to see the issue of the mooring field adjustment handled prior to the issuance of an assent for this matter. Mr. Cox states that it would be unfair for his client, who has met all standards and variances, to have to put their plans on hold until the town goes through the harbor management plan process. Ms. Silvia stated that she spoke to the Harbor Commission Chair and the town did not have any objections to the application as currently proposed and that the Town did not comment or object during the public notice period. Mrs. Gray speaks to the Council about her reasoning for the desire to have a dock on the property.

Ann Morrill, Kickemuit River Council; George Ogle, Kickemuit River Council; and Tom Lomora, Bristol resident, are sworn in. Mr. Ogle read a letter of objection into the record from the Kickemuit River Council. Mr. Lomora expresses his concerns about the public access areas and the possibility of diminishing the ability to harvest shellfish. Mr. Lomora also stated his concerns in regards to the moorings in the area that would have to be moved and the hardship to those people. Ms. Morrill stated that she agreed with the objections stated. The three objectors stated that they would like to hear from the Town. Ms. Silvia stated that she called Dom Franco, Harbor Commission Chair, due to the receipt of the objections and he stated that the Town did not have objections to any of the concerns raised in the letters of objection. Chair Tikoian asked Ms. Silvia about the public access concerns. Ms. Silvia stated that the opinion of staff is that the Rights of Way are further to the south and the dock does not seem to impede access.

Vice Chair Lemont asked if the dock could be shortened and still have an acceptable water depth for the boat. Mr. Blanchard stated that at the length of the proposed dock during a moon low tide there would be a foot less of water and that his proposed plan is trying to protect the boat from sitting on the mud in all tide cycles. Mr. Blanchard explained to the Council how he determines water depth. Mr. Cox makes closing statement.

Vice Chair Lemont states that the Kickemuit River Council does good work and hopes they continue to do so but that he believes in this particular case the dock is justified and will not cause any hardships. Vice Chair Lemont motions, seconded by Mr. Gomez and Mr. Driscoll, to approve the dock. The motion passes with one opposed.

#### **6. Public Hearing on Changes to the RI Coastal Resources Management Program:**

**Revise Section 210.3.C.4 Coastal Wetlands; Revise Section 335.C.2 Protection and Enhancement of Public Access to the Shore; Revise Section 300.14 Maintenance of Structures/Table 4a. Dwelling Rebuilds and Additions for Maintenance Activities under Section 300.14 in its entirety.**

Chair Tikoian requested to remand the proposed changes back to the Policy and Planning Committee to have further discussion on them. No objections from Council

### **Ocean Special Area Management Plan**

#### **Section 200 - Ecology of the Ocean SAMP Region**

**The purpose of the Rhode Island Ocean SAMP Ecology Chapter is to provide a broad overview of SAMP area ecosystem: its geology, wind patterns, air and water temperatures, salinity, water currents and circulation, chemical oceanography, phytoplankton, zooplankton, ichthyoplankton, the benthos, fish, megafauna (whales, seals, turtles) and avifauna (birds). With the Ocean SAMP region being a busy maritime entryway to both Narragansett Bay and Long Island Sound, it is critical that the complex ecological and biological dynamics of this transitional sea area be increasingly studied and understood for appropriate resources management.**

Mr. Alan Desbonnet of Rhode Island Seagrant gives a very brief overview of the Ecology of the Ocean SAMP area via Power Point presentation. The first point made by Mr. Desbonnet is that the OSAMP area is a very unique region in that it's a place where there are two very different bodies of water meeting, mixing and mingling – cold water flow from the Gulf of Maine and warm water flow from gulf stream coming up from the South. Mr. Desbonnet explained the area and how it was formed geologically. Mr. Desbonnet explained about the seasonal temperature changes and the effect it has on the water body. Mr. Desbonnet stated that because of the changeable environment the ecology is made up of very seasonal group of organisms that can withstand wide varieties of temperatures and salinities. Mr. Desbonnet talked about the water current as pertains to Block Island, Long Island and Mid-Atlantic pipe region. Mr. Desbonnet spoke about the Benthic ecology saying that the bottom is mosaic sand, cobble, gravel, boulders and other types of rougher terrain. Mr. Desbonnet talked about the dramatic change in the fish community and the Ocean SAMP area discovered by Jeremy Collie while looking at fish trawl data. Mr. Desbonnet stated that data pointed to a correlation between the switch in fishes they were seeing and water temperatures leading to a good probability that warming temperatures related to changing climate, may be causing the shift in fish community. Mr. Desbonnet spoke about aquatic animals in the vicinity such as whales, seals, turtles, and birds.

Chair Tikoian opened the floor to public comment.

Carolyn Karp of Brown University commented on the Demersal Fishing information and cautioned against asserting that it is climate driven and that the effort to re-establish these demersal species should be acknowledged. Ms. Karp also asked about the section of the chapter on commercial ecological issues meaning algal blooms and acidian blooms and its correlation with ballast water discharge. Ms. Karp suggested that the chapter should acknowledge the efforts being made by other branches of Government or Agencies that will have or intended to have a direct effect on the ecology of this region. Mr. Fugate stated that there are two other chapters of the OSAMP that will deal with the issues of fisheries and the environment. Ms. Karp states that perhaps the chapter should touch on the human impacts to the ecological shift as well. Ms. Karp states that the Ecology and the Fisheries Chapters should be coupled.

Ms. Tricia Jedele of the Conservation Law Foundation provided formal comments to the Council also stating that they are relying on the written comments submitted for the Global Climate Change chapter and the Existing Rules and Regulations Chapter. Ms. Jedele stated that CLF used the Ecology Chapter as the linchpin of the Ocean SAMP as a plan that holds itself as the ecosystem-based management model for the nation. Ms. Jedele stated that although it has been said, the preservation and restoration of the ecosystem is the guiding principal of the OSAMP, it does not seem to be realized in the chapter. Ms. Jedele stated that the Ecology Section in the OSAMP is blank and that is part of what was asked to be commented on for formal rule making. Ms. Jedele stated that the CLF would like to see more in the Ecology Chapter about impacts on

the ecosystem and whether these activities are appropriate going forward or should be managed and how they should be managed. Ms. Jedele also stated that CLF would like to see in this chapter the identification of key ecological areas that are deserving of special protection; identify biological hotspots, and the inclusion of an ecological valuation index which is at this point included in the renewable energy chapter. Ms. Jedele requests that the Council not vote on this chapter until we understand how the ecological valuation index is going to be incorporated in the chapter, whether its going to be incorporated and to what extent, and until we understand what ecological policy recommendations are going to be made and how those will be incorporated throughout the SAMP. Ms. Jedele also questions what's driving the timeframe of August deadline. Ms. Jedele as representative of CLF feels as though the timeframe to comment on the task of four chapters within a small timeframe is insurmountable. Ms. Jedele stated that if the Council decides to vote on the ecology chapter at this meeting, in accordance with Admin Proc Act 42-35-3 subsection (a)2, that a concise statement of the principal reasons for or against its adoption and incorporating therein its reasons for overruling the consideration urged by CLF with respect to the adoption of this particular chapter tonight. Ms. Jedele commended the efforts of the OSAMP individuals. Mr. Fugate stated that some of the issues raised by CLF were out of the purview of the SAMP. Mr. Fugate stated that three chapters are setting the stage for the SAMP one being the Ecology Chapter. Mr. Fugate stated that the subjects of development activities and areas of preservation would be dealt with in the renewable energy and offshore development chapter. Mr Fugate stated that the Ecology Chapter allowed us to better understand how those systems were behaving and what potential impacts these activities may have. Mr. Fugate stated that there will be another time to comment during the Final Public Comment period. Mr. Fugate explained that the SAMP is written by Federal Guidelines for state waters and that many of the state water areas are protected by those guidelines. Mr. Fugate stated that they are trying to cross reference information between chapters and they are identified. While discussing the information in the chapter, a realization that the OSAMP website did not carry the current version that was being looked at and Ms. Jedele renews her request that the Council not vote on the ecology chapter until the full chapter is available for public comment. Chair Tikoian states that he is uncomfortable voting on a chapter that has not been fully made available to the public and is supported by Council members. Chair Tikoian asks for other public comment that can be incorporated into the version that comes before the Council for voting purposes. Ms. Eugenia Marks, Senior Policy Director of the Audobon Society asked to add her written comments to the record. Mr. Goldman stated that if they had been submitted to staff they were already part of the record and a copy was given to Council. Ms. Marks commended the researchers for the excellent job in providing data on information available but stated that the environmental information should include human use as well. Ms. Marks stated that she felt there were gaps in the knowledge about food webs and how they work in this environment need to be connected better. Ms. Marks would like to see an ongoing relationship between the academic community and CRMC so that knowledge of the ecology of offshore can continue to build. Ms. Caroyln Karp commented stating that CRMC is essentially creating an ocean zoning plan which includes industrial zoning with delineations between Rhode Island/Massachusetts, and Rhode Island/Connecticut. Ms. Karp suggests looking at the Comprehensive Conservation Land Use Management Plan as a model for zoning. With no further public comment, Chair Tikoian asks for the presentation on the next Chapter on the Agenda – Global Climate Change.

### **Section 300 - Global Climate Change**

**The purpose of the Rhode Island Ocean SAMP Global Climate Change Chapter is to observe past climate trends across global and local scales, consider climate change**

**projections in the future as suggested by existing scientific studies and models, and examine what these climate change trends mean for the marine ecosystem of the Ocean SAMP area and human activities related to the Ocean SAMP.**

Ms. Jedele, CLF, asks if the version that was available on the OSAMP website is the version being discussed by Council and compares her version with Mr. Fugate. Mr. Fugate stated that the changes that were made in the Chapter were based on comments from CLF so the response was to change some of the verbiage.

Dawn Kotowicz, URI, speaks on behalf of all the authors of the Climate Change Chapter. Ms. Kotowicz makes PowerPoint Presentation on the methodology used in creation of chapter, and that the major findings include how global climate change is impacting Rhode Island and how it will intensify. Ms. Kotowicz talks about the increased intense precipitation events, air temperature rise, sea level rise, increased storm intensity, ocean acidification. Ms. Kotowicz stated that the primary issues looked at were ecological impacts, such as marine ecology; as well as human use impacts, such as marine transportation, navigation and infrastructure, recreation and tourism, renewable energy and future uses, historical and cultural assets and fisheries resources and uses. Ms. Kotowicz states that the chapter establishes support for offshore renewable energy production. Ms. Kotowicz ends presentation and Chair Tikoian asks for questions from the Council. With no questions from the Council, Chair Tikoian opens the floor for public comments.

Mr. Maury Markowicz speaks stating that he is representing himself as he has an interest in the subject of climate change. Mr. Markowicz gives information on his background stating that he holds a degree in physics from MIT. Chair Tikoian asked Mr. Markowicz if he had made comment at the workshop held previously. Mr. Fugate confirmed that he had. Chair Tikoian stated that we had a transcript from the workshop and that his comments were on the record and the Council had reviewed the record. Mr. Markowicz continued to comment on his viewpoints of global warming which were not favorable.

Mr. Jon King, Professor of Oceanography at Graduate School of Oceanography, URI, commented stating that his expertise was in paleoclimate. Mr. King stated that he reviewed the Climate Change Chapter and thinks that the chapter reflects the consensus of the climate community's understanding of what's been going on and what will happen in the future. Mr. King stated that the Chapter was a fine document and is acceptable as it stands.

Ms. Caroline Karp, of Brown University commented stating that the chapter represents the consensus in the world community, local scientific community and that it is not controversial. Ms. Karp questions the leap the information in the chapter makes about offshore wind development making sense as the goal is to mitigate emission and that could be done by emphasizing efficiency and conservation. Ms. Karp states that there is a carbon cost to developing wind energy such as steel, transmission lines, amping of voltage from offshore to onshore. Mr. Fugate stated that the chapter tried to respond to issues that the Council itself has some control over, and energy conservation in the State is a broader policy that is statewide. Ms. Karp stated that she would like to see the plan acknowledge the state effort to reduce emissions. Chair Tikoian asks Mr. Fugate to review Ms. Karp's suggestions with the OSAMP team to see if they want to integrate those changes.

Tricia Jedele, Conservation Law Foundation, commended the comments by Dr. King and the SAMP Team for the work they had done thus far on this chapter. Ms. Jedele stated that CLF made a number of comments and feels that all comments were appropriately addressed.

Mr. Roland LaRue speaking on behalf of the Tea Party Patriots and other rationalist groups asked to give comment. Mr. LaRue had three CDs to give to the Council refuting climate change stating that global climate change does not exist. Mr. LaRue stated that the information in the chapter is speculation, projection not science. Mr. LaRue asked for the names of the people who worked on the Chapter. Chair Tikoian stated that Mr. LaRue could obtain that information from the website.

Chair Tikoian closed the public hearing as there were no further comments. Vice Chair Lemont motioned, seconded by Mr. Driscoll, to approve Section 300 of the Ocean SAMP -- Global Climate Change. Motion carried on unanimous voice vote.

### **Section 1000 - Existing Statutes, Regulations and Policies**

**The purpose of the Rhode Island Ocean SAMP Existing Statutes Chapter is to provide a broad overview of those state and federal regulations which are most relevant to the governance of uses within the Ocean SAMP area, as this area encompasses both state and federal waters. These key statutes, regulations, and policies have associated regulatory provisions that provide policy direction for, and regulation and management of, these ocean resources and uses.**

Brian Goldman, of Goldman Law Offices and Legal Counsel to the CRMC, gave a brief overview of the chapter to the Council. Mr. Goldman provided a redlined version of the chapter to the Council members of the changes made since it was first read out from the first workshop. Mr. Goldman explained that what the Council members had was the very same version that was presented to the official workshop held on May 20, 2010 with only one minor change. Mr. Goldman stated that he did not receive the comments from CLF until he received his agenda package and thought to address them this evening. Mr. Goldman stated that some of the comments from CLF should be incorporated into the chapter and the others will be put into the matrix. Mr. Goldman stated that one change that he made since the workshop was to emphasize that this chapter is an overview and not an interpretation by CRMC of any agency, rule, regulation or statute but rather is a general overview of the statutory and regulatory environment. Mr. Goldman discussed all changes with the Council. Ms. Karp asked the date of the draft that Mr. Goldman was discussing stating that her draft was dated April 14, 2010. Mr. Goldman stated that the draft he was discussing was the one presented at the May 20, 2010 workshop. Chair Tikoian stated that his draft was dated June 15, 2010. Ms. Jedele confirmed that the date on the draft available on the website was April 14, 2010. Mr. Goldman continued with his presentation to the Council on the updates made to the document based on public comment. Chair Tikoian stated that based on the miss-posting the Council would be unable to vote on the Chapter this evening. Ms. Dwyer stated that the version that the Council has is the version that we have posted under our proposed regulation changes and is also posted on the Secretary of State website. Ms. Dwyer stated that it would appear the version on the URI Sea Grant hosted ocean website is perhaps not the most current information and that will be remedied immediately. Ms. Jedele stated that although the items may have been posted properly, the public was being guided to a specific website that did not have the current versions available. Chair Tikoian stated that out of an abundance of caution this portion of the OSAMP be continued

so that everyone gets a chance to review what is proper. Ms. Karp stated that she would like Mr. Goldman to reference the RI Constitution, Article 1, Section 17 and also the Freedom to Fish and Marine Conservation Act. Mr. Goldman stated that there were many statutes that could be included in this chapter which is why in his beginning comments he pointed out that this is meant as a general view of relevant ones. Chair Tikoian asked Ms. Karp to put comments in writing and forward them to Mr. Fugate so they can be dispersed to Council members and Mr. Goldman. Ms. Jedele stated that she wanted to echo the point that CLF raised about referencing the Public Trust Doctrine as it is a legal doctrine that will be used in context, it is something that is relevant for future uses of the SAMP area.

Chair Tikoian stated that they would be postponing the vote on the Section 200 - Ecology of the Ocean SAMP Region and Section 1000 - Existing Statutes, Regulations and Policies. Chair Tikoian closed the public hearing on Chapter 1000.

Ms. Karp asked for an extension on the comment period of the chapters which ends on Friday, June 25, 2010 as there is quite a bit of information to go through with four chapters. Chair Tikoian stated that he would like to talk to the SAMP Team about scheduling before they decide to extend comment periods and set up a meeting with Ms. McCann and Mr. Fugate for the very next morning.

#### **7. CATEGORY "A" LIST**

Accepted as presented.

#### **8. ADJOURN**

Vice Chair Lemont motioned, seconded by Mr. Dawson, to adjourn meeting. Motion carried on unanimous voice vote. Meeting adjourned

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa A. Mattscheck