

MINUTES

Assessment Work Group Meeting #3 October 5, 2010 1:00-3:30 pm Office of Community Provider Network of RI

Attendees:

<u>Agencies/Organizations:</u>			<u>Present</u>
Sue	Babin	RIDD Council	
Melissa	C	The Homestead Group	X
Jean	DeSimone	Cove Center	
Sheila	DiVincenzo	Cranston Arc	
Mitchell	Dondey	Trudeau Center	X
Anne	Doran	PAL	
Kathy	Ellis	Avatar	
Pat	Fiske	Cove Center	X
Lynne	Hadaway	Project Friends	
Lisa	Izzo	Spurwink RI	X
Janet	Iovino	Sherlock Center	X
Tom	Kane	Cranston Arc	
Chris	Kavanaugh	Re-Focus	
Donna	Martin	CPNRI	X
Kristen	Medeiros	Looking Upwards	X
Julie	Nernier	Re-Focus	
Judy	Niedbala	Perspectives	X
Stanley	Olsson	LIFE, Inc.	X
Cathy	Procaccini	Fogerty Center	
Cheryl	Ring	Olean Center	
Claire	Rosenbaum	Sherlock Center	X
Jane	Sruka	Parent	X
Linda	Ward	Opportunities Unlimited	X
Mary Ann	Wiedenhofer	LIFE, Inc.	X

State Staff:

Cynthia	Brown	BHDDH	
Linda	Giguere	BHDDH	
Joe	Gould	BHDDH	X
David	McMahon	BHDDH	X
Tom	Martin	BHDDH	
Craig	Stenning	BHDDH	X
Charles	Williams	BHDDH	X
Maureen	Wu	BHDDH	X

<u>Consultants:</u>			<u>Present</u>
John	Agosta	HSRI	X
Peter	Burns	Burns & Associates	
Gretchen	Engquist	Burns & Associates	X
Jon	Fortune	HSRI	X
Mark	Podrazik	Burns & Associates	X

Topics Covered:

1. Review of Meeting #2 Minutes Charles Williams
2. BHDDH Project Sustainability Overview Charles Williams
3. What SIS Supplemental Questions should be included? Jon Fortune
4. What is the plan for capturing the exceptional needs of individuals served? *Deferred to next meeting*

Comments/Feedback:

1. Related to Meeting #2 Minutes- approved with the following changes:
 - a. Mark Pat F. as present
 - b. Under 3i), change the word “consider” to “recommend”
2. Related to BHDDH Project Sustainability Overview
 - a. Charles W. provided a brief overview of the BHDDH Project Sustainability document handed out to meeting participants. He encouraged everyone to review at their leisure.
 - b. Claire R. pointed out that the graph on page 2 did not have a place for self-direction. Craig S. responded that BHDDH was not going to be changing how this has been operating up to this point.
3. Related to SIS Supplemental Questions
 - a. Jon F. walked through the elements of the SIS Supplemental Questions provided from a sample of 5 states.
 - b. Claire R. pointed out that the questions from State #1 and State #3 were used in the pilot in RI, but the questions were not defined well.
 - c. Jon F. indicated that the questions from State #3 were the best at explaining resources in HSRI’s research, State #4 were only used to develop care plans and not resources, and State #5’s questions were written to help write better care plans.

Action Items:

1. Sub Work Group to meet to put together a recommendation of which supplemental questions should be considered for RI
2. Meeting set for November 4 has been cancelled
3. Flow out how to operationalize the ISP to the funding level