

CRANSTON SCHOOL COMMITTEE PUBLIC WORK SESSION

MAY 15, 2013

WILLIAM A. BRIGGS BUILDING (REED CONFERENCE ROOM)

845 PARK AVENUE, CRANSTON, RI

EXECUTIVE SESSION 6:00 P.M.

**PUBLIC WORKSESSION IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING EXECUTIVE
SESSION**

**PUBLIC SESSION IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING PUBLIC WORK
SESSION**

MINUTES

The meeting of the Cranston School Committee was held on the above date with the following members present; Chairperson Iannazzi, Mrs. Ruggieri, Mr. Colford and Mr. Gale. Mr. Traficante, Mrs. McFarland and Mrs. Culhane were absent. Attorney Cascione was present for executive session.

This meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. and convened to Executive Session pursuant to RI State Laws -

1. PL 42-46-5(a)(1) Personnel:

A. (Renewal of Contracts – Administrators/Principals)

2. PL 42-46-5(a)(2) Collective Bargaining and Litigation:

A. (Contract Negotiations' Update – Secretaries)

B. (Teachers)

C. (Teacher Assistants)

D. (Bus Drivers, Mechanics)

E. (Tradespeople)

3. PL 42-46-5 (3) Security

Call to Order – Public Session was called to order at 6:30 p.m.

The roll was called; a quorum was present. No votes were taken in Executive Session.

4. Public Work Session

a. Permits – Jeannine Nota-Masse

Ms. Nota explained to the committee the process and reason(s) for obtaining a permit to attend a school not in their district and the process involved. Ms. Nota stated - for the 2012-2013 school year we have 432 students going to schools that are out of their home districts with the majority being elementary students. This is becoming problematic because we are getting people moving into their districts, they buy a house in the district of the neighborhood school, they register their child, and they are told there is no room at that school; now the child will have to go to a school outside of their neighborhood school. Conversely, if anyone went back to their district schools, we may be overcap or over capacity at certain schools. Dr. Lundsten plotted that out as the permits stand right now. The amount of time for processing these permits can be daunting. We do not have a policy for outlining criteria for an approval or non-approval of a permit. What used to be a courtesy is now expected

with the reasons being a bit deluded. Permits are granted for one year only. Another term used is clustering; when a classroom is overcap we would have to move that student to another school. The 432 permit students do not include the clustered students. Dr. Lundsten then explained the procedure she used when she was assistant superintendent and did permits.

Ms. Nota continued – as of today we have 122 permit requests for the next school year.

Mrs. Ruggieri stated – one of the reasons we started talking about the permits is because we know that all day kindergarten is coming down the pike. We also know that we not receiving any funding from anyone to do this so when we started looking at our schools and started looking at what was open in our schools, we started to think we don't actually know what our schools look like because we have 400 students who aren't technically where they are supposed to be. When we started to look at that we said, what would happen if those kids are where they are supposed to be? What would that do to some of our classrooms and would that free up space or would that make it worse or what would that actually do. The problem is right now when we look at our schools to see what kind of room availability we have we really don't know. That, to me, is one reason to start eliminating permits except for certain criteria and that would be I think something that the administration would have to do - sit down and decide what the criteria would be. We talked about what we could do; looking at next year, to say that there would be a very limited amount of permits

that would be approved by the superintendent and assistant superintendent's office because we need to get an actual population count for our schools in order to facilitate all-day kindergarten in the most cost effective way.

Mrs. Ruggieri further stated – the second piece of this is certainly something I have very strong feelings about and that is moving sixth grade back to the middle school because it definitely frees up a room to make sure we can fit all-day k in all our schools. It means should we need a third grade in that school because that's how many students are going to be in that school then we might have the room to do that. I think at both ends it works. We have to start somewhere and we have to start now.

b. Breakfast in the Classroom – Janice Ruggieri

Two handouts (one being the teacher survey results and the other is the parent survey results – attached for the record) from the Breakfast in the Classroom (BIC) program. Mrs. Ruggieri stated - both surveys were anonymous. Over 1,000 responses came back from parents and over 100 responses back from teachers and staff. Mrs. Ruggieri explained how this program is supposed to run and reviewed the parent survey results with the committee. There are some things we can work on and Sodexo has always been really responsive as far as working with us in regards to concerns parents may have stated Mrs. Ruggieri.

The teacher survey responses were also reviewed by Mrs. Ruggieri

with the committee. Mrs. Ruggieri stated – also something that we really didn't think of – this time in the morning a lot of teachers will schedule conferences (with parents) prior to the start of school, what their normal school start time was and now like this time it cut out for them so they can't have those conferences at those times anymore which means they would have to come in even earlier and that is not something we can direct them to do. We received many positive comments as well; people were very grateful that we were giving their children the opportunity to eat in the morning for whatever reason they weren't able to do that themselves. 21 out of the 100 teachers had positive or no comments. It is working for some people but not others. There are issues we can address.

The question was asked – what will you do with the survey results? Mr. Votto stated – at some point we will be sitting down and looking at areas where we can make corrections. I would also like to make some comments – the teachers are complaining about not having the period of time before school to meet. What we found out when we introduced BIC at the school level, those teachers should have been in their classrooms at twenty of nine and they weren't. They were showing up at five to nine so they had all this free time because the kids were in the cafeteria, eating food, or being outside. So they didn't lose any time contractually that is our time so they didn't just book conferences, what happened is they lost that time, not because of BIC because truly the contract calls for that so they should have been in their classrooms at 8:40, bring the kids in at 8:45 and now

they are serving breakfast and the kids could be working on some type of academic exercise. Number 2, I understand Janice's concern and the concern of parents and obviously the teachers but we are going through an audit right now. What Sodexo does is provide the State of Rhode Island their menu and the nutritional values are supposed to be met. We are having a visit from RIDE, the person who oversees the food service program for the entire state. They have been out to Bain, East today, tomorrow they will be at Edgewood Highland, Rhodes, one visiting the universal breakfast program and the lunch program. They will brief Lynne and me at the end of the day and we would be more than happy to make a verbal report to you on Monday night at part of the possible contract extension. We probably won't have a written report from him right away but it will be forthcoming in a couple of weeks. What they are doing is, they have taken the menu, they analyzed that, and then they will go into the schools to make sure that information matches up to what we have in our schools and serving.

Mr. Votto continued – when we did BIC our participation at these nine schools (most of them are Title 1 schools) needy schools with needy kids; our participation rate is an 87% average increase throughout our BIC.

Mrs. Ruggieri stated – if we are rolling this out we shouldn't be holding back the other schools. If this is what we are going to be doing we have to either do BIC or breakfast in the cafeteria but I don't

think it does anybody any good to be doing it two different ways because of what the perception is of equality.

Mr. Votto stated – we look at it and made a decision last year to just do the neediest schools because quite frankly we were concerned about participation in some of the other schools. An example would be Orchard Farms; there is very little participation in the Universal Breakfast Program. The kids come to school having been fed. So we would have a (financial) loss. We can look at it again and bring that back to this committee. BIC has been successful and we are reaching our kids and they are eating every day and many teachers will tell you the kids are more alert, they come ready to learn.

Mrs. Ruggieri stated – we need to make sure if the breakfast is only supposed to be fifteen minutes it should only be fifteen minutes. That's how it was presented, that's how it's supposed to be, that's how it's supposed to run. We as a district need to make it clearer to them. Our expectations are that this is a fifteen minute program and if something is going beyond that time, it's not right. One of the issues we talked about was for whatever reasons the kids were being sent down to bring back the bags to the cafeteria and that is never supposed to be happening. We already addressed that issue with Sodexo.

Dr. Lundsten stated – if you look at the research nationwide – it does support increased academic performance time on task. Dr. Lundsten

further stated she would be meeting with the nine principals that are doing BIC. We will also add this to the new teacher orientation in August.

c. Sodexo Annual Renewal Contract – Raymond Votto

Mr. Votto stated – in your packet is the one year renewal for Sodexo for 13-14. Also included in that packet was last years' renewal so you could make a comparison and Joe is at a conference but if you notice in your renewal packet where he bolded those changes that took place and were not in last years' renewal. The guarantee has declined somewhat and part of that is the function of we're doing now a summer lunch program and that is about \$3,700 net loss in profit. We're also leasing a truck with option to own which is going to help us support getting from our production sites to our schools in a timely manner. Our guarantee is still \$332,000. Ms. Conca (from Sodexo) stated obviously we want the kids to get what they want to eat but obviously that has to meet the nutritional guidelines. It's a balance we try to maintain. It is a learning process and there are new requirements coming down from RIDE for the next school year. Once that happens we will need to make some changes to our BIC menu (sample menu on file in the superintendent's office – handout #4).

5. Adjourn Public Work Session to Public Meeting

6. Executive Session Minutes Sealed – May 15, 2013

A motion to seal the minutes of executive session was made by Mr.

Gale and seconded by Mr. Colford. The roll was called; all were in favor.

7. Adjournment

A motion to adjourn was made by Mr. Gale; seconded by Mrs. Ruggieri; all were in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Paula BM McFarland

Clerk

** **

FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT COMPANY

AGREEMENT RENEWAL

SCHOOL DISTRICT(S) CRANSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

AGREEMENT NUMBER

**RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SCHOOL SUPPORT AND FAMILY ENGAGEMENT
NUTRITION PROGRAMS**

**In accordance with Federal law and the U. S. Department of
Agriculture policy, this institution is prohibited from discriminating**

on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, or disability. To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD.) USDA is an equal opportunity employer. Further the RI Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of sexual orientation or religion. To file a complaint of discrimination with the State of RI, write to the RI Department of Education, Director, Office of Equity and Access, 255 Westminster Street, Providence, RI 02903 or call (401) 222-4600.

ATTACHMENTS

**MEAL EQUIVALENT DETERMINATION FORMULA
SCHEDULE A**

**DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, INELIGIBILITY AND VOLUNTARY
EXCLUSION CERTIFICATION**

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT RENEWAL

This Food Service Management Agreement Renewal (Renewal) is made and entered into as of this 1st day of July 2013, by and between CRANSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS, the School Food Authority (LEA) and SODEXO OPERATIONS, LLC., the Food Service Management Company (FSMC).

WHEREAS, the CRANSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS (SFA) and SODEXO OPERATIONS, LLC., FSMC entered into a Food Service Management Agreement, dated July 1, 2010 whereby the FSMC was retained to manage and operate the Food Service for the SFA;

WHEREAS, the parties now desire to exercise a one (1) year renewal of the aforesaid Food Service Management Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the provisions contained herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Section 2.4 is deleted and the following substituted;

Contract Year- July 1, 2013-June 30, 2014.

Also, any and all references to the 2012-2013 year shall be changed to 2013-2014.

2. Section 2.8 The Meal Equivalent is deleted and the following substituted;

\$3.68

3. Section 5.12 The 'Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion' Certification is updated and attached.

4. Section 5.13 'Certification Regarding Lobbying' is updated and attached.

5. Section 6.17 is deleted and the following is substituted therefor:

6.17 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES. In addition to FSMC's Management Fee, Sodexo proposes to earn incentives in accordance with the following terms and conditions:

Financial Performance. FSMC will be paid an incentive of up to Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) for each incentive threshold exceeding the proposed return. At no time will an incentive payment reduce the Surplus due to LEA below that threshold level.

The following examples represent incentives payable to FSMC based on different levels of Surplus:

Incentive Threshold Schedule

Proposed Surplus \$332,725.00

Surplus	Threshold	Levels	Maximum FSMC Incentive	Maximum LEA Benefit
----------------	------------------	---------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------

\$332,725.00 to \$352,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$352,725.01 to \$372,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$372,725.01 to \$392,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$392,725.01 to \$412,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$412,725.01 to \$432,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$432,725.01 to \$452,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$452,725.01 to \$472,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$472,725.01 to \$492,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$492,725.01 to \$512,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$512,725.01 to \$532,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

\$532,725.01 to \$552,725.00	\$10,000	\$10,000
------------------------------	----------	----------

Any incentive payments earned by FSMC under this term shall be

invoiced to the LEA after the end of each school year and shall be paid to FSMC as per payment terms defined in the contract. For the purpose of incentive payments, the reimbursement claim month related to payment shall be June of that year.

6. Section 7.2 B. The General Support Services Fee and the rate per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent amounts are deleted and the following substituted;

Rate per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent (\$.1074)

7. Section 7.3 B. The Management Services Fee and the rate per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent amounts are deleted and the following substituted;

Rate per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent (\$.0431)

5. Section 7.11 is added to the Agreement and reads as follows:

“7.11 SUMMER PROGRAM. Sodexo shall provide summer meal service commencing July 8, 2013 and continuing through August 16, 2013. Sodexo shall be reimbursed for its Operating Expenses and;

7.11.A. A General Support Services Fee equal to Thirty Three and 0/10 cents (.330) per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent served, computed monthly and included with the monthly invoice for Operating

Expenses

7.11.B. A Management Fee equal to Nineteen and 6/10 cents (.196) per Pattern Meal and Meal Equivalent served, computed monthly and included with the monthly invoice for Operating Expenses”

8. Sections, 7.5, 7.5.A and 7.5. B are deleted and the following is substituted:

“7.5 GUARANTEED RETURN: The LEA and FSMC shall work together to ensure a financially sound operation. The FSMC guarantees that the LEA shall receive a Surplus of Three Hundred Thirty Two Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$332,725.00). If the Surplus for the nonprofit food service program falls short of the aforementioned amount, the FSMC shall pay the difference to the LEA. Any Guaranteed Return received by the LEA must remain in the nonprofit food service account.

9. District operating costs will be added to the monthly Operating Statement, but will have no effect on our guarantee

10. Section 7.8 A. The Average Daily Attendance is deleted and the following substituted; ten thousand three hundred thirty five (10,335)

11. Section 7.8 C. The value of donated commodities is deleted and the following substituted; (\$.2725)

12. Section 7.8 I. The projected number of full feeding days are deleted and the following substituted; Elementary-180 days, Middle/Jr.-180 days, High School-180 days

13. Section 7.8.H is deleted and the following is substituted:

7.8 H The state minimum wage shall not rise above \$8.00 during the 2013 - 2014 contract year.

14. SCHOLARSHIP. For every year that this Agreement is in effect, FSMC shall provide to LEA at the end of each school year a scholarship fund in the amount of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). LEA shall be responsible for determining the student(s) to receive the scholarship(s). If the Agreement is terminated for any reason, FSMC shall have no future obligation as it relates to this.

15. Schedule A 'Meal Equivalent Determination Formula' is deleted and replaced with the

attached Schedule A 'Meal Equivalent Determination Formula'.

16. This Renewal is effective July 1, 2013. All other terms and conditions contained in the Agreement, and any prior Agreement Renewals, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

17. An unsigned copy of this Agreement Renewal will be submitted

no later than May 1,

**2013 to RIDE's Office of School Support and Family Engagement,
Nutrition**

**Programs for their review and approval. After approval, a signed
copy of the**

Agreement Renewal will be submitted no later than June 30, 2013.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this
Agreement Renewal to be signed by their duly authorized
representatives.**

CRANSTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS, (LEA)

By: _____

Name (printed: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

SODEXO OPERATIONS, LLC. (FSMC)

By: _____

Name (printed: Scott Loretan

Title: Senior Vice President

Date: _____

SCHEDULE A

MEAL EQUIVALENT DETERMINATION FORMULA

All numbers used for this formula are from the LEA's last completed school year.

Full Price High School Student Lunch \$3.00

Federal Reimbursement Paid Lunch .35

Per Meal State Match .04367

Per Meal Entitlement Allowance .2725**

Per Meal Bonus Value* .01

MEAL EQUIVALENT \$3.68

***The following is the formula to determine Per Meal Bonus Value:**

Total value of Bonus Commodities divided by the total number of lunches, meal equivalents, and adult meals equals the Per Meal Bonus Value.

****Per meal entitlement allowance effective 7/1/13 through 6/30/14**

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility
and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions**

This certification is required by the regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 7 CFR Part 3017, Section 3017.510, Participants' responsibilities. The regulations were published as Part IV of the January 30, 1989, Federal Register (pages 4722-4733). Copies of the regulations may be obtained by contacting the Department of Agriculture agency with which this transaction

originated.

(BEFORE COMPLETING CERTIFICATION, READ INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE)

(1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it

nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared

ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal

department or agency.

(2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in

this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

**SODEXO OPERATIONS, LLC.
SCHOOLS**

**Organization Name
Project Name**

CRANSTON PUBLIC

PR/Award Number or

____ **Scott** **Loretan,** **Senior** **Vice** **President**

Name(s) and Title of Authorized Representative(s)

Signature(s)

Date

Instructions for Certification

1. Be signing and submitting this form, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out on the reverse side in accordance with these instructions.

2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

4. The terms "covered transaction," "debarred," "suspended," "ineligible," lower tier covered transaction," "participant," "person," "primary covered transaction," "principal," "proposal," and "voluntarily excluded," as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this form that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with

a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this form that it will include this clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the Nonprocurement List.

8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

_____ Applicable to Grants, Subgrants, Cooperative Agreements, and Contracts Exceeding \$100,000 in Federal Funds.

Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction and is imposed by section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of a Federal contract, the making of a Federal grant, the making of a Federal loan, the entering into a cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of a Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL. "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying", in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all covered subawards exceeding \$100,000

in Federal funds at all appropriate tiers and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

Sodexo Operations, LLC.

10400 Little Patuxent Parkway, Suite 490

Columbia, MD 21044

Name/Address of Organization

Scott Loretan, Senior Vice President

Name/Title of Submitting Official

Signature

Date

** **

Food Service Budget SY 2013-2014 Name of District Cranston Public Schools

Name of FSMC Sodexo Services

PROJECTED REVENUE School Unit Price # of Meals Extended Price

Sales Lunch \$

Paid Meals Elementary Schools \$ 2.25

140,490 \$ 316,102.50

Middle School \$ 3.00 37,393 \$

112,179.00

High School \$	3.00	56,999 \$
170,997.00		
Reduced-Price Meals District Wide \$		0.40
75,222 \$	30,088.80	
Adult Sales District Wide \$		

Sales Breakfast

Paid Meals Elementary Schools \$		- \$
-		
Middle School \$	- \$	-
High School \$	- \$	-
Reduced-Price Meals District Wide \$		- \$
-		

Adult Breakfasts District Wide

Other Sales/Income

Special Functions \$	34,000.00	
Bank Interest \$ \$		
Other Income/ Vending \$ \$		
Other Income/ A LA CARTE/Students \$		199,083.00
Other Income/ Milk A la Carte \$ \$		11,525.00
Other Income/ Vendor Rebates \$ \$		
Other Income/Paid Snacks \$ \$		
Subtotal Sales \$	873,975.30 A	

Federal Reimbursement

Lunch

Paid Meals District Wide	\$	0.360	234,882	\$
			84,557.52	
Reduced-Price Meals District Wide	\$	2.620		
75,222	\$		197,081.64	
Free Meals District Wide	\$	3.030	468,019	\$
			1,418,097.57	
Total Lunches	\$		1,699,736.73	

Breakfast

Paid Meals District Wide	\$	0.28	26,607	\$
			7,449.96	
Reduced-Price Meals District Wide	\$	1.29		
1,384	\$		1,785.36	
Free Meals District Wide	\$	1.60	6,872	\$
			10,995.20	
Total Breakfasts		34,863		

Severe Need Breakfast

Paid Meals District Wide	\$	0.28	146,470	\$
			41,011.60	
Reduced-Price Meals District Wide	\$	1.60		
37,033	\$		59,252.80	
Free Meals District Wide	\$	1.91	232,954	\$
			444,942.14	
Total Breakfasts		416,457	\$	545,206.54

Federal Reimbursement Snacks

Paid Snacks District Wide	\$	-	
Reduced Price Snacks District Wide	\$		-
Free Snacks District Wide		15,343	\$

11,968.00

Federal Reimbursement Afternoon K-Milk Program

Paid Milk Elementary Schools	\$	0.50	
74	\$	37.00	
Free Milk Elementary Schools			
TOTAL Fed. Reimbursements District Wide			15,343

\$ 2,288,822.00 B

State Match District Wide		-	\$
----------------------------------	--	----------	-----------

35,015.00

USDA/Pattern Meal	\$	-	
Commodity Value District Wide	\$		
	\$	35,015.00	C
TOTAL REVENUE A + B + C	\$	3,197,812.30	

PROJECTED EXPENSES

Food Unit Cost # of Meal Cost

Student Lunches	\$	0.92	781,978	\$
------------------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	-----------

719,419.76

Student Breakfasts \$ 0.80 451,320 \$

361,056.00

Adult Lunches \$ 1.00 1,700 \$

1,700.00

Adult Breakfasts \$ - - \$

-

Special Functions \$ - \$ 15,385.00

Equivalent Meals \$ 13,000.00

Food Costs (excluding a la carte) \$

USDA Processing Charge \$ - \$

USDA Commodity Charge \$ - \$

USDA/Pattern Meal \$ - \$ -

Student After School Snacks \$ 0.50

14,960 \$ 7,480.00

Student K-Milk \$ 0.50 500 \$

250.00

Vending \$ -

District wide a la carte \$ 0.50

Other: Rebates, Allowances and Discounts (-) \$ - \$

(137,080.00)

Other: Commodity Offsets \$ -

Net Food Cost \$ 981,210.76 D

Labor

Hourly Wages-attach detail \$ \$

Administration/Clerical \$

Food Service Workers \$ 811406

taxes & insurance \$ 184595

Benefits \$ 100208

Salaried-attach detail \$ \$

Management \$ 204927

Other: Mgt Benefits/Taxes \$ 71929

Other Payroll Costs \$ \$

FICA \$ \$

Retirement \$ \$

Unemployment \$ \$

Health/Life Insurance \$ \$

Holiday/Sick/Personnel \$ \$ -

Worker's Compensation \$ \$ -

Gen. Liability \$ \$

Other (Specify) \$ \$ -

Other (Specify) \$ \$

Total Payroll/Benefits \$ 1,373,065.00 E

Other Expenses

Auto Allowance \$ \$

Cafeteria Supplies \$ \$

Paper Costs \$ \$90,044

Equipment rental \$ \$

Equipment repair \$ \$ 15,000.00

Insurance: Gen Liability& WC \$ \$49,800

Menu/Ticket Printing \$	\$	
Office Supplies \$	\$	6,651.00
Performance Bond \$	\$	
Small Equipment \$	\$	8,753.00
Physicals \$	\$	
Background Check \$	\$	
Program Promotions \$	\$	3,700.00
Replacements \$	\$	
Cleaning \$	\$16,941	
Telephone \$	\$	2,761.00
Uniforms \$	\$	8,427.00
Laundry \$	\$	
Utilities \$	\$	
Personal Computer \$	\$	
Vehicle Repair/Maint. \$	\$	
Licenses/Taxes \$	\$18,799	
Miscellaneous \$	\$	20,000.00
Training \$	\$	737.00
Fuel Charge \$	\$	1,694.00
Printing materials \$	\$	3,718.00
Other: Nutrition Education \$	\$	
Other: Delivery charges \$	\$	3,608.00
Other: Consulting \$	\$	3,663.00
Other: Advertising \$	\$	
Other: Vehicle Leases \$	\$	27,452.00
Other: Vehicle Operations \$	\$	20,000.00

Other: Commodity Delivery/Processing \$ \$
Other: Management Meetings \$ \$
Other: FS Health Inspections \$ \$
Other: POS Systems \$ \$
NSF Audit \$ \$2,497
Total Other Expenses \$ 304,245.00 F

FSMC Costs

Management Fee \$ 115,143.00
General Admin Fee \$ 46,207.00
Amoritization 45200
\$ -
Total FSMC Costs \$ 206,550.00 G

TOTAL EXPENSES D + E + F + G \$ 2,865,070.76

TOTAL REVENUE \$ 3,197,812.30

TOTAL EXPENSES \$ 2,865,070.76

PROFIT (REVENUE MINUS EXPENSES) \$ 332,741.54

Value of Guarantee \$ 332,725.00

** **

BIC SURVEY RESULTS - PARENT SURVEY

LEAST FAVORITE ITEMS CHANGES WISHED TO BE MADE ISSUES WITH THE PROGRAM

108 Muffins 340 Add more variety - suggestions include, offer other drink choices; more fresh fruit; dry cereal Lactose Intolerant Choices

83 Eggs Nut free/Pork free/Gluten allergy issues

50 Cheese Sticks 124 More information - suggestions include, send a menu home; opt out option; nutritional information; start/finish time; late policy More fresh fruit

47 Cereal Bars Not enough food (small portion)

43 Bagels Cold/Soggy Food; Liquefied cream cheese

40 Goldfish/Graham Crackers Kids eating twice

29 Cheese Sandwich Late bus = no breakfast

17 Yogurt Not enough time to eat

** **

Breakfast in the Classroom Teacher Results

86 responses

21 positive or no comments

The issues:

Unhealthy food choices

No opt out so kids are eating two meals

Kids trading foods so that some are eating all food

Not enough food to go around

Not enough cleaning supplies/not adequate for needs (spoons for yogurt, wipes for desks and hands)

Kitchen workers asking them to bring back food cases so kids are away from classrooms...THIS SHOULD NEVER BE HAPPENING!!!

Portable classrooms make this process difficult

Waste...food not eaten or left over and then thrown away

Smell, sinks clogged, fruit flies

Children coming in late and still expecting breakfast (communication issue with parents)

Cutting into instructional time

No time for conferences (parent conferences)

Check off process time-consuming

END OF SURVEY

** **

Cranston Public Schools

Comparison of before and after BIC implementation as of 04/30/2013

Daily Average prior to BIC implementation Daily Average after BIC implementation Diff Daily Additional Reimbursement \$\$ due to BIC implementation # of serving days since BIC implementation Estimated Total Additional Reimbursement \$\$ due to BIC Implementation

ARLINGTON

Free 72 118 46 \$85.10 127 \$10,807.70

Reduced 8 16 8 \$12.40 127 \$1,574.80

Full Price 12 26 14 \$3.78 127 \$480.06

Grand Total 92 160 68 \$101.28 127 \$12,862.56

BARROWS

Free 22 39 17 \$31.45 110 \$3,459.50

Reduced 6 8 2 \$3.10 110 \$341.00

Full Price 24 55 31 \$8.37 110 \$920.70

Grand Total 52 102 50 \$42.92 110 \$4,721.20

DUTEMPLE

Free 55 82 27 \$49.95 98 \$4,895.10

Reduced 3 8 5 \$7.75 98 \$759.50

Full Price 29 65 36 \$9.72 98 \$952.56

Grand Total 87 155 68 \$67.42 98 \$6,607.16

GLADSTONE

Free 146 261 115 \$212.75 93 \$19,785.75

Reduced 17 47 30 \$46.50 93 \$4,324.50

Full Price 40 62 22 \$5.94 93 \$552.42

Grand Total 203 370 167 \$265.19 93 \$24,662.67

WATERMAN

Free 27 45 18 \$33.30 90 \$2,997.00

Reduced 4 9 5 \$7.75 90 \$697.50

Full Price 22 69 47 \$12.69 90 \$1,142.10

Grand Total 53 123 70 \$53.74 90 \$4,836.60

STADIUM

Free 54 96 42 \$77.70 100 \$7,770.00

Reduced 18 21 3 \$4.65 100 \$465.00

Full Price 23 50 27 \$7.29 100 \$729.00

Grand Total 95 167 72 \$89.64 100 \$8,964.00

**Additional Reimbursements from Breakfast In the Classroom =
\$62,654.19**

Prior to BIC YTD BIC Daily Avg.

EDGEWOOD 67 210

PETERS 35 169

EDEN PARK 49 148

Grand Total 151 527