

XX

XX

XX

XX

XX

XX

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx. Parole is contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which she is currently enrolled. During any period she becomes unemployed, she must document an active employment search and also work with her parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service (GTD:8/29/19) WOMENS:VOTE:UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan,Perez

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/24/18

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 11, 2018

PAGE: 2

REVOKED

148670 REYES JOSE M DOB: 04/01/1988 - REVOKED

Mr. Reyes is before the Board as a Violator in the Community and he is provided his rights for final parole revocation hearing. He chooses to go forward with hearing without witnesses and admits that he violated the terms and conditions of parole xxx xxxxxxxxx. The Board finds, in fact, that he violated parole and votes to revoke parole, but we also vote to reparole him and allow him to stay in the community. As a sanction the Board votes to revoke ninety days of his street time which will be added to his sentence. Parole is contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which he is currently enrolled. During any period he becomes unemployed, he must document an active employment search and also work with his parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service (GTD:) IN COMMUNITY VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

DENIED

146026 DEBRITO FRANCISCO DOB: 06/12/1979 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Mr. DeBrito is before the Board, but does have a criminal charge pending. At this stage the Board votes to deny parole, but will reconsider him in ninety days (10/2018). We believe that to parole him while there is a pending charge would depreciate the seriousness of his offense and promote disrespect for the law. He anticipates the charge will be dismissed so we will reconsider him in ninety days and see where he stands. (GTD:9/17/19) MEDIUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

154272 DELACRUZ HECTOR DOB: 11/14/1979 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board votes to deny parole without reconsideration. During the hearing the Board found that Mr. Delacruz had limited insight into the seriousness of his offense

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX. He continued to reflect criminal thinking, he distanced himself from the offense

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXX. Coupled with the seriousness of his offense for a assault with a firearm the Board will deny parole at this time, but we will reconsider him in two years (7/2020). The Board strongly encourages Mr. Dorbor to

become more of a leader,

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX and work his way to a lower security.

XX

XX

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX. (GTD: 7/9/27) HIGH SECURITY VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

112877 FABRIZIO JUSTIN M DOB: 12/29/1978 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board observed that Mr. Fabrizio distanced himself from the underlying crime and does not appear to be fully engaged in

authentically exploring his motives. xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

xx and
will deny parole without reconsideration at this time as we
believe to parole under these circumstances would promote
disrespect for the law. (GTD:2/2/19) HOME CONFINEMENT

VOTE:

UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

090312 GOMES DINO A DOB: 03/13/1968 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and
dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal
history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk
assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Mr. Gomes is before
the Board on a First Degree Robbery offense and when asked
to make a statement on his behalf he indicates that he is

not seeking parole,

xx

xx. The Board acknowledges
his

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/24/18

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 11, 2018

PAGE: 4

DENIED

lengthy criminal history, as well as the seriousness of this offense, xxx. We will deny parole at this time, and will reconsider him in two and one-half years (1/2021). xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX
XX. (GTD:5/22/26) MEDIUM

VOTE:

UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

153926 LEWIS BERNARD DOB: 11/08/1989 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board has reviewed on the record Mr. Lewis' out-of-state record as well as the underlying facts of this case. xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xx. In light of the nature and seriousness of the offense, as well as the introduction and use of a firearm in the underlying offense the Board will deny parole at this time, however, we will reconsider him in six months (1/2019). xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX

XX

XX

XX

XX. (GTD: 8/12/20)

MEDIUM

VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

544527 MKRTCHIAN GAGIK DOB: 08/01/1979 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board votes to deny parole at this time as we found Mr. Mkrтчian with limited insight into the seriousness of his offense xxxxx

XX during this
incarceration

XX

xxxxx. For these reasons we believe parole is premature and would promote disrespect for the law, but we will reconsider him in one year (7/2019). xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX

X

XX.

(GTD:6/4/20)

MEDIUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

151910 NACCI JOHN DOB: 01/19/1967 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Given the seriousness of the offense of drunk driving as a community concern, the facts of the case which included driving with

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/24/18

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 11, 2018

PAGE: 5

DENIED

his minor daughter, and his criminal history, particularly his prior and multiple convictions for DUI, the Board votes to deny parole without reconsideration as we believe it

would promote disrespect for the law and undermine the severity of his offense.(GTD:2/22/19) HOME CONFINEMENT VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Almeida, Nolan, Perez

151909 PIRES JOSE DOB: 01/04/1975 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board votes to deny parole without reconsideration. The reason for the denial is due to Mr. Pires' repetitive criminal history for DUI's, his lack of insight into his crime xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

xx

xx. (GTD:5/14/20)

HOME

CONFINEMENT VOTE: YES: Almeida, Nolan, Perez RECUSED: Pisaturo

136850 PRICE JOHN III DOB: 11/22/1990 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board is mindful that Mr. Price was a juvenile at the time of the offenses he committed and has reviewed the additional factors set

forth in our Guidelines. The gravity and seriousness of the offense, as reflected in such things xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

xx, length of

sentence

and the current early stage of that sentence, compel

against parole at this time. xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX

X

XX

X

and we will reconsider him in three years (7/2021).

(GTD:6/28/55) HIGH SECURITY VOTE: YES: Pisaturo, Nolan,
Perez RECUSE: Almeida