

parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service. (GTD: 12/21/17) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

107819 FORTUNE RONALD H DOB: 02/20/1974 - PAROLED

The Board finds that Mr. Fortune has met the criteria for parole. We vote to parole him in September 2017 with the following conditions: GPS for six months to afford him some structure during the significant transition time, xxxxxxxxx

XX

XX for the

duration of parole. In addition he must xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, as needed, for the duration of parole. The

Board

XX

xx. He is to have no contact

xxxxxx

XX.

Parole is

contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which he is currently enrolled. During any period he becomes unemployed, he must

document an active employment search and also work with his parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service. (GTD:4/20/20) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

082688 GARCIA EBBY JR DOB: 05/15/1967 - PAROLED

The Board votes to parole Mr. Garcia in December 2017 xxxx

XX

XX

XX

XX. The reason for the staggered date is
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX.

Parole is contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which he is currently enrolled. During any period he becomes

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/31/17

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 19, 2017

PAGE: 2

XX

XX

for the duration of parole. xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx he should be placed on GPS for a minimum of thirty days. Parole is contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which he is currently enrolled. During any period he becomes unemployed, he must document an active employment search and also work with his parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service. (GTD: 6/2/19) MINIMUM VOTE:

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/31/17

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 19, 2017

PAGE: 3

PAROLED

UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

148630 SOUCY ROBERT A DOB: 08/18/1993 - PAROLED

The Board votes to parole Mr. Soucy in August 2017 to straight parole with an approved home and work plan.

Conditions of parole will also include xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, as needed, for duration of parole. The Chairperson dissents as she would deny parole without reconsideration in light of his risk assessment score, prior opportunity on parole from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and early return to a life of crime so soon after his most recent parole. She believes that he is a moderate to high risk. Parole is contingent upon this offender remaining booking free and in any program or educational course in which he is currently enrolled.

During any period he becomes unemployed, he must document an active employment search and also work with his parole officer to perform up to twenty hours weekly of community service. (GTD: 3/1/18) MINIMUM VOTE: YES: Armesto, Perez
DISSENT: Pisaturo

DENIED

092711 BENTO KYLE A DOB: 06/04/1973 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Mr. Bento presents to the Board taking limited personal responsibility for his

criminal activity and a very limited and less than concrete parole plan or insight into what he needs to do to turn things around. We note that he is a moderate risk for recidivism under our risk assessment, and combined with his limited insight at hearing the Board cannot state that he could remain at liberty without violating the law. The Board will deny parole without reconsideration. (GTD:

2/3/18) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo,

Armesto,

Perez

125957 HEATH DERRICK C DOB: 10/30/1985 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Mr. Heath presents well before the Board, but we have some significant concerns with respect to his presented parole plan in light of his criminal history and prior affiliations. We will deny parole at this time on this basis, but encourage him to go back to the drawing board and we will reconsider him

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/31/17

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 19, 2017

DENIED

in two months (9/2017) at which time he is to present a plan that would better allow him to have a more stable and sustaining re-entry into our community. (GTD:8/5/18)

MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

108993 JOHNSON WILLIAM A DOB: 12/16/1980 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board votes to deny parole. Mr. Johnson presents with limited insight at hearing and does not reflect any motivation to change his lifestyle or otherwise pursue legitimate employment or vocational rehabilitation. He minimized his role in the drug dealing offense for which he is serving. In light of this, as well as his criminal history, the Board denies parole on the basis that it would promote disrespect for the law and we simply could not state that he could remain at liberty without violating the law. (GTD: 1/3/18) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board acknowledges Mr. Lopatka's low risk score, however, we find as an aggravating factor that this is his third DUI and a significant community concern. For these reasons we will deny parole at this time as we believe that to parole him at this stage would promote disrespect for the law. We will reconsider him in Home Confinement in six months (1/2018).

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 07/31/17

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: JULY 19, 2017

PAGE: 5

DENIED

(GTD:8/3/18) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

147406 MONROE SCOTT A DOB: 09/26/1992 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board will deny parole at this time in light of the serious nature of the

DENIED

record and this discussion that he could not remain at liberty without violating the law. He has not established to us otherwise. In addition, the Board is hard pressed to grant parole without this insight and a more stable parole plan. Accordingly the Board votes to deny parole without reconsideration on these grounds. (GTD:2/20/19) **MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez**

147756 THIBODEAU MICHAEL S DOB: 10/09/1992 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. Mr. Thibodeau xxxxx

XX

XX. Due to his

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXX the Board votes to deny parole at this time, but will reconsider him in six months (1/2018). Overall he has a positive record and the Board would caution him xxx

XX

XXXXXXXXXX. (GTD:8/19/19) MINIMUM VOTE:

UNANIMOUS-Present;

Pisaturo, Armesto, Perez

154518 TURVI DORAKIS A DOB: 02/20/1997 - DENIED

The Board considered information reflecting both static and dynamic indicators including, but not limited to, criminal history, police reports(s), institutional record, risk assessment(s) and parole plan/request. The Board votes to deny parole on the basis that we cannot state that he could remain at liberty without violating the law. Mr. Turvi comes up as a moderate risk on his risk assessment. His presentation reflected difficulty

XX we

have

available to us. The Board notes that he does not have a XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, but the information we have XXXXXX

XX. He has a history

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, as well. We do not believe he is a suitable candidate for parole at this time and recommend a referral to discharge planning so that he can have some

assistance at the expiration of his sentence xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

xx.

**(GTD:2/2/18) MINIMUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo,
Perez, Armesto**