

04/24/15

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: APRIL 20, 2015

PAGE: 1

PAROLED

097167 MCCORMACK BRIAN J DOB: 05/13/1969 - PAROLED

This matter is scheduled for review based on lack of opportunity provided by the Parole Board for xxxxxxxxxx statement as required by statute. Under Yang vs. State, where the Parole Board has not given its final approval for parole (i.e. by a signed, sealed and delivered permit), the Board retains its authority to consider additional information and reconsider an earlier vote to grant parole.

Based on the impact statements received, after hearing again from Mr. McCormack and his attorney and after further deliberation, the Board votes to amend its earlier grant of parole to release Mr. McCormack in June 2016. Board members believe, based on the impact of the crime relayed to them by xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx which underscores the seriousness of the murder in this case, that Mr. McCormack should serve more time prior to his release otherwise it would depreciate the seriousness of this offense. The

Board acknowledges that Mr. McCormack has a low risk level under the risk assessment performed and has determined to give greater weight to the offense severity which is the highest in this case and which is reflected in the xxxxxx xxxxxxxx. Conditions of parole as of June 2016 include

XX

XX

XX

XX

XX.

He will

also remain on GPS for 2 years. For any period of unemployment, he is to complete 20 hrs community service per week week. Dr. Reamer dissents as he would have reaffirmed parole for April 2015 as previously granted with additional conditions. (GTD: 5/18/ 32) MEDIUM VOTE: YES: Pisaturo, DeLosSantos, Rickman DISSENTS: Reamer

097164 MCDONALD WILLIAM J DOB: 11/29/1966 - PAROLED

This matter is scheduled for review based on lack of opportunity provided by the Parole Board for xxxxxxxxxxxxxx statement as required by statute. Under Yang vs. State,

where the Parole Board has not given its final approval for parole (i.e. by a signed, sealed and delivered permit), the board retains its authority to consider additional information and reconsider an earlier vote to grant parole.

Based on the impact statements received, after hearing again from Mr. McDonald and his attorney and after further deliberation, the Board votes to amend its earlier grant of parole to release Mr. McDonald in June 2016. Board members believe, based on the impact of the crime relayed to them

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 04/24/15

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: APRIL 20, 2015

PAGE: 2

PAROLED

XX which underscores the

seriousness of the murder in this case, that Mr. MacDonald should serve more time prior to his release otherwise it would depreciate the seriousness of this offense. The Board acknowledges that Mr. MacDonald has a low risk level under the risk assessment performed and has determined to give greater weight to the offense severity which is the

highest in this case and which is reflected in the victim impact. Conditions of parole as of June 2016 include

XX

XX

XX

X

XX

and

XX

X

of 45 days. He will also remain on GPS for 2 years. For any period of unemployment, he is to complete 20 hrs CS/week. This parole is contingent upon Mr. McDonald remaining booking free and remaining in any program or educational courses in which he is currently enrolled. Dr. Reamer dissents as he would have reaffirmed parole for April 2015 as previously granted with additional conditions. (GTD: 12/7/32) MEDIUM VOTE: YES: Pisaturo, DeLosSantos, Rickman DISSENT: Reamer

132263 SERRANO ESDRAS M

DOB: 09/15/1978 - PAROLED

The Board has concerns about Mr. Gomez's criminal history, lack of employment history, admission to dealing in drugs to support himself, the severity of this offense and his
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX all of which make him at risk to reoffend. In light of all of this the Board cannot say that he will remain at liberty without violating the law and votes to deny his parole without reconsideration. The

Board commends him on

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, encourages

him to continue, we recommend

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XX
XXXXXX.

(GTD: 7/8/17) MEDIUM VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Reamer, DeLosSantos, Rickman

072560 PERRY JOSEPH W DOB: 03/20/1962 - DENIED

The Board commends Mr. Perry for his programming and insight displayed at hearing. Notwithstanding based on the nature and seriousness of this offense, including the loss of an innocent life, the use of a weapon, XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, the Board believes that he should serve more time or else it would depreciate the severity and seriousness of his actions and offense as well as his criminal history. In addition, the Board acknowledges that he had an opportunity

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

Parole06.rpt

R.I. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

DATE: 04/24/15

PAROLE BOARD MEETING FOR: APRIL 20, 2015

PAGE: 4

DENIED

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX, otherwise to parole him at this stage would depreciate his offense, his criminal history and promote disrespect for the law. The Board believes he is a moderate risk to reoffend. He will flatten his sentence without reconsideration. (GTD: 5/20/17) MEDIUM VOTE:

UNANIMOUS-Present; Pisaturo, Reamer, DeLosSantos,

Rickman

533217 RODRIGUEZ JOSE DOB: 08/24/1983 - DENIED

In light of his criminal history for a crime of violence and his newest offense for a crime of violence, as well as his prior opportunity on parole and return as a probation violator, the Board believes that to parole him from this sentence would depreciate the seriousness of his offense and record and promote disrespect for the law. In

addition, Mr. Rodriguez has not yet

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XX.

If Mr.

Rodriguez

XX he may

write to the board for reconsideration. Mrs. DeLosSantos
dissents as she would have considered paroling Mr.

Rodriguez contingent

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX prior to release. (GTD: 12/24/15)

MEDIUM

VOTE: YES: Pisaturo, Reamer, Rickman DISSENT:

DeLosSantos

108410 TORRES JULIO DOB: 12/11/1974 - DENIED

Based on his hearing before the Board, during which he
displayed limited insight into his criminal thinking, his

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX throughout his confinement,

prior

opportunity on parole and the fact that he was on probation
for robbery at the time he committed this very serious
offense, the Board has concerns about Mr. Torres' risk to
reoffend. The Board believes to parole Mr. Torres at this
time would depreciate the seriousness of his criminal
history, and criminal thinking, and the Board cannot say
that he would remain at liberty without violating the law.

**The Board votes to deny parole without
reconsideration.(GTD: 4/3/17) VOTE: UNANIMOUS-Present;
Pisaturo, Reamer, DeLosSantos, Rickman**