



9 if so, are there any comments, questions, or  
10 corrections on the previous minutes? Questions?  
11 Comments or corrections? Hearing none, all of  
12 those that are in favor of approval of the  
13 previous minutes --

14 MR. DiCHIRO: Motion to approve.

15 MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to  
16 approve by Commissioner DiChiro and a second by  
17 Commissioner Lazieh. Discussion? Hearing none,  
18 all of those that are in favor will say "aye."  
19 Are there any opposed? There are none opposed,  
20 and the motion carries. Next order of business,  
21 Item No. 3 is Old Business. Is there any old  
22 business to come before the Commission? Old  
23 business? Like me. Hearing none. Moving right  
24 along.

0003

1 Resolution of Appreciation for former  
2 Commissioner Senator Michael McCaffrey. He is  
3 present with us today. Commissioner Salvatore,  
4 will you assist with the presentation?

5 MR. SALVADORE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.  
6 This is a Resolution of Appreciation.

7 "Whereas, Michael McCaffrey was appointed by  
8 the Majority Leader of the Senate of the State of  
9 Rhode Island to the Board of the Narragansett Bay  
10 Commission on March 14, 2003, and

11 Whereas, he has shown great support for the  
12 Narragansett Bay Commission in its mission to  
13 play a leadership role in the protection and  
14 enhancement of Narragansett Bay and its  
15 tributaries by providing safe and reliable  
16 wastewater collection and treatment services to  
17 its customers at a reasonable cost, and

18 Whereas, he served on the Narragansett Bay  
19 Commission's Legislative Committee, has advocated  
20 for the Narragansett Bay Commission in the  
21 General Assembly, and has promoted clean water  
22 through a variety of initiatives,

23 Now therefore be it resolved that the  
24 Narragansett Bay Commission shall extend its

0004

1 sincere appreciation to Michael McCaffrey for his  
2 service on behalf of the State of Rhode Island  
3 and the ratepayers of the Narragansett Bay  
4 Commission."

5 MR. CHAIRMAN: All right.

6 (APPLAUSE)

7 MR. CHAIRMAN: Congratulations.

8 Do you care to say a few words?

9 MR. McCAFFREY: Just that I've  
10 enjoyed being on this Commission, and you've  
11 opened my eyes in a big way. I wasn't aware of  
12 the amount of work and time and effort that the  
13 people here put in and the fantastic job that  
14 they do. I think that's a tribute to you and all  
15 the Members of the Board, and I enjoyed serving  
16 on it. Thank you.

17 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Take a  
18 photo. Got to get the cup. You have to get the  
19 cup.

20 MR. DiCHIRO: It's like the Oscar.

21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Like to add my own  
22 editorial comments, which is that the legislators  
23 on this Board are going to be sorely missed. I  
24 won't belabor the point. I've said it so many  
0005

1 times before, and obviously we look forward to  
2 your continued support in the General Assembly.  
3 Thank you very much for your service.

4 Okay. Moving right along. Item No. 5 is  
5 Election of Officers. For purpose of the  
6 election of officers, I will turn the gavel over  
7 to our Secretary and Executive Director, Paul  
8 Pinault.

9 MR. PINAULT: In accordance with  
10 the Commission's bylaws, at the first meeting in  
11 April of every year, we have an Election of  
12 Officers. In your packet is a list of the  
13 current officers: Vincent J. Mesoella,  
14 Chairman; Angelo Rotella, Vice Chairman; Robert  
15 Andrade, Treasurer. By law, I act as Secretary  
16 to the Board. Do I have any nominations?  
17 Commissioner Cruise.

18 MR. CRUISE: Can we nominate them  
19 as a package? I move the entire slate of current  
20 officers.

21 MR. PINAULT: Do I have a second?  
22 Second from Commissioners Campbell, Montanari,  
23 Montanaro, Burroughs, Lazieh, Ramos --

24 MR. CRUISE: It's unanimous.

0006

1 MR. PINAULT: Any other  
2 nominations to come before the Board? Hearing  
3 none, all those in favor say "aye." All those  
4 opposed? So voted. Congratulations.

5 (APPLAUSE)

6 MR. CHAIRMAN: So before we begin  
7 the formal meeting, again, let me just express my  
8 sincere appreciation for your confidence in my  
9 chairing of this Commission. As I've said for  
10 many, many years, more than I care to admit, I'm  
11 very proud of being affiliated with this  
12 Commission and the Members of this Board. I've  
13 never served on any -- after 20 years in  
14 government -- never served on a commission or  
15 committee with such dedicated members, and to you  
16 I commit my absolute full and best efforts, so  
17 thank you very much.

18 Moving right along. Item No. 6 is the  
19 Executive Director's Report. Do you have a  
20 report for us, Mr. Secretary?

21 MR. PINAULT: Yes, I do,  
22 Mr. Chairman. In your packets, there's the  
23 report for the month of February. Because the  
24 April meeting is early this month, the March  
0007

1 report is not done yet, but I'll just be brief.  
2 We have a heavy agenda, and some Commissioners  
3 have to leave.

4 As far as the plants go, the plants are  
5 operating well even with the heavy rains. At the  
6 bottom of Page 2 is a summary of our operating  
7 data. Last week we had two very significant  
8 rainstorms, and we wound up making the press, and  
9 we had a problem with DEM. They misrepresented  
10 what happened at the plants. They stated that a  
11 hundred million gallons of the combined sewer  
12 overflow was discharged untreated. When in  
13 actuality, most of that flow was treated with  
14 primary treatment for that section.

15 So they wound up closing the Bay. They had  
16 an extended closure. I was on Channel 10. Ray  
17 was on Channel 6. We had a clarifying article  
18 with the Providence Journal, and we've also done  
19 extensive monitoring in the Bay. I talked to our  
20 Monitoring Department; and what we showed was  
21 after about two days, things were almost back to

22 normal. So as a result of that, it's in the  
23 paper, I guess, today that the Bay rebounded, and  
24 they are going to reduce the Bay closure. So I  
0008

1 just wanted to let everyone know that. We  
2 received a lot of calls. Commissioner Salvadore  
3 called, and we did follow up on that, but it's  
4 tough to get the word out.

5 On Financial, as of February, the end of  
6 February, we're at 66.6 percent of the fiscal  
7 year. We're spending at a rate of 56.4 percent,  
8 so below budget. Staffing's at 243.

9 The only other thing I'd like to mention is  
10 that the Strategic Plan is being updated, and  
11 we're planning to bring that to the Board in  
12 June, and we talked about that briefly as part of  
13 the Finance Committee and the FY '06 Budget  
14 presentation; but other than that, Mr. Chairman,  
15 due to the length of the agenda, if anyone has  
16 any questions, they can always call me on it.

17 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So I'll  
18 assume there are no questions at this time, but  
19 the Executive Director always remains available  
20 to answer questions so.

21 Next order of business is Item No. 7 which  
22 is Committee Reports and Action Items Resulting.  
23 The first committee reporting is the Finance  
24 Committee. Commissioner Andrade, do you have a  
0009

1 report for us?

2 MR. ANDRADE: Yes, I do, Mr.  
3 Chairman.

4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Proceed.

5 MR. ANDRADE: The Finance  
6 Committee did meet earlier, and Item A is not an  
7 item that needs to be approved by the full Board,  
8 but just to mention that a presentation was made  
9 of the first half of the operating budget for  
10 FY '06 by Karen and a couple of her staff, and  
11 there is a lot of work that has gone into this  
12 package and remains more work to be done to  
13 finish off the budget process, and there were  
14 some changes incorporating the Strategic Plan  
15 items in the budget this year. I believe there  
16 are copies available. If any of you  
17 Commissioners who are not on the Finance

18 Committee would like it, they will be able to get  
19 you --

20 MR. PINAULT: See Karen.

21 MR. ANDRADE: Moving onto Item B,  
22 Mr. Chairman, Review and Approval of Resolution  
23 2005:04, Authorization to Award Contract for the  
24 Provision of Banking Services. Pretty  
0010

1 straightforward. I don't know if you wanted to  
2 say anything about it, Paul?

3 MR. PINAULT: Citizen's Bank has  
4 been our bank of account, and the contract is  
5 expiring. We went out for request for  
6 qualifications and proposals earlier in the year.  
7 We received three submittals: Sovereign Bank,  
8 Citizen's, and Bank Rhode Island.

9 An elite team consisting of key staff in the  
10 A & F Department reviewed the proposals. We  
11 narrowed it down to Citizen's Bank and Sovereign;  
12 and based upon pricing in particular, Sovereign's  
13 Bank prices were significantly higher than  
14 Citizen's Bank. We've been very happy with  
15 Citizen's Bank. They take terms at their  
16 branches, and meet all of our other requirements.  
17 So the recommendation is to approve Resolution  
18 2005:04, and to award the banking services  
19 contract for five years with an option to renew  
20 for two years with Citizen's Bank of Rhode  
21 Island.

22 MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, I'd  
23 like to move Resolution 2005:04.

24 MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to  
0011

1 approve Resolution 2005:04.

2 MR. DiCHIRO: Second.

3 MR. CHAIRMAN: Seconded by  
4 Commissioners Cruise, DiChiro, and Kimball.  
5 Discussion on Resolution 2005:04? Discussion?  
6 Hearing none, all of those that are in favor will  
7 say "aye." Are there any opposed? There are  
8 none opposed, and the motion carries. Do you  
9 have a further report Mr. Andrade?

10 MR. ANDRADE: Yes, Mr. Chairman,  
11 one additional resolution was approved by the  
12 Finance Committee and presented here to the full  
13 Board. Resolution 2005:05, Authorization to

14 Issue Revenue Obligations in an amount not to  
15 exceed  
16 50 million and Approval to Execute a third  
17 Supplemental Trust Indenture and Other Matters.

18 MR. PINAULT: In your packet is a  
19 memo from Karen, Director of Administration &  
20 Finance, dated March 25; and as she noted at last  
21 month's Finance Committee Meeting, we would be  
22 coming up this month for approval to borrow up to  
23 \$50 million for our capital improvement projects.  
24 The Rhode Island Water Authority does not have

0012

1 any SRF funds available in the time that we need  
2 them.

3 We've met with our financial advisor and  
4 determined that we can -- due to the yield curve  
5 being relatively flat at this time and the  
6 attractive long-term rates, we could issue a  
7 Certainty of Revenue Bond and wrap around our  
8 existing debt and maximize our borrowing capacity  
9 for smooth cash flows. It does not require a  
10 rate adjustment. Karen is here if you have any  
11 specific questions. The resolution has been  
12 prepared by our bond consultant Tillinghast,  
13 Licht, Perkins, Smith, and Cohen, and attached is  
14 name change -- Tillinghast & Licht, Item 6, and  
15 we recommend Resolution 2005:05.

16 MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, I'll  
17 move approval of Resolution 2005:05.

18 MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion  
19 for approval of Resolution 2005:05. Is there a  
20 second?

21 MR. MONTANARI: Second.

22 MR. SALVADORE: Second.

23 MR. CHAIRMAN: Seconded by  
24 Commissioner Montanari and Commissioner

0013

1 Salvatore, and Commissioner DiChiro. Discussion  
2 on this resolution? Is there further discussion  
3 on this resolution 2005:05? Hearing none, all of  
4 those that are in favor will say "aye." Are  
5 there any opposed? There are none opposed, and  
6 the motion carries. Thank you. Commissioner  
7 Andrade, further report?

8 MR. ANDRADE: Mr. Chairman, that  
9 concludes the report of the Finance Committee.

10 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you very  
11 much. Moving right along to Committees  
12 Reporting. The Construction Engineering and  
13 Operations Committee; and in the absence of  
14 Commissioner Giusti today, the Chairman chaired  
15 that meeting. There were five items for action.

16 In your packet Item A is Review and Approval  
17 of Resolution 2005:07, Recommendation for Bucklin  
18 Point Operations, Maintenance, and Management  
19 Services Contract. The Committee reviewed that,  
20 and the recommendation is in your packet.

21 There were three respondents to a request  
22 for a proposal and qualifications. There were  
23 three parties to respond. After reviewing the  
24 proposals, they were short-listed to two.

0014

1 Aquarion and Veolia were the two companies that  
2 were interviewed. After significant discussion  
3 and interviews, the Committee has recommended  
4 Aquarion to be the operator of the Bucklin Point  
5 Wastewater Treatment Facility and the nutrient  
6 removal process as well.

7 It was a quite competitive process. The  
8 deciding factor seems to be the budget. Aquarion  
9 came in significantly lower at about a half  
10 million dollars lower. It was noted, however, by  
11 the staff that Veolia, who has operated our plant  
12 for about five years, has been very, very  
13 professional. They have been responsive to our  
14 needs. The plant manager has been excellent in  
15 dealing with our construction managers and our  
16 operators as well. They had nothing but praise  
17 for Veolia. Unfortunately, the price difference  
18 has made a significant difference.

19 It is important to note, however, that this  
20 resolution authorizes the Narragansett Bay  
21 Commission to enter into negotiations in a price  
22 not to exceed 1,600,000. If the successful  
23 respondent is not able to complete successful  
24 negotiations of this, we'll move on to discuss

0015

1 continuing with Veolia.

2 So with that having been said, I would move  
3 approval of resolution 2005:07. Seconded by  
4 Commissioner MacQueen and Commissioner Cruise.  
5 Is there discussion on this matter? Commissioner

6 Burroughs.

7 DR. BURROUGHS: Yeah. I noticed  
8 that the issue of nitrogen comes up here and also  
9 in resolution 09, and I wondered if Paul could  
10 just comment on where we stand on that.

11 MR. PINAULT: On nitrogen in  
12 general?

13 DR. BURROUGHS: Yeah, nitrogen  
14 removal and what our situation is at the moment.

15 MR. PINAULT: At Bucklin Point as  
16 you're aware, we're spending about \$60 million to  
17 upgrade the plant, and a portion of that upgrade  
18 is to add nutrient removal, which we did not have  
19 prior to that. Right now we discharge about  
20 16 milligrams per liter on an average annual  
21 basis of the nutrient nitrogen into the Seekonk  
22 River and the Bay. The plan is designed for  
23 8 milligrams per liter year round so about a  
24 50 percent reduction, which is required by  
0016

1 legislation passed by the General Assembly last  
2 year, and it has to be done by December of '08.  
3 So we're ahead of the curve.

4 That's scheduled to go on-line this July;  
5 and part of -- the main part of the evaluation of  
6 selecting this vendor was looking at their  
7 qualifications and experience in running  
8 biological nutrient removal facilities. One of  
9 the factors was we also felt Aquarion also had  
10 more expertise in doing that. They have assured  
11 us that based upon the design which they looked  
12 at from Camp, Dresser & McKee, that they can  
13 easily reach the 8 milligrams per liter  
14 year-round requirement, and they also feel that  
15 they should be able to approach 5 milligrams per  
16 liter seasonal, which is the new draft permit  
17 which we are still debating, and they feel they  
18 can do that with no increase in capital cost or  
19 operating cost, and that's based upon their  
20 experiences at a number of other facilities  
21 around the country.

22 DR. BURROUGHS: Would that require  
23 the new technology that was experimented with at  
24 Field's Point installed?

0017

1 MR. PINAULT: I think at this

2 point they feel they can do it with what's been  
3 designed and being built. So that addresses the  
4 Bucklin Point. Field's Point I was going to get  
5 to when we talk about the amendment.

6 MR. CHAIRMAN: We've had some  
7 significant discussions on that entire issue but  
8 happy to review it for you. Further comments?  
9 Questions regarding resolution 2005:07? Hearing  
10 none, all of those that are in favor will say  
11 "aye." Are there any opposed? There are none  
12 opposed, and the motion carries.

13 Next order of business is Item B. Review  
14 and Approval of Resolution 2005:08, Authorization  
15 to Acquire Temporary and Permanent Easements for  
16 Contract 704, which is the rehabilitation of  
17 Washington Highway and the Omega Pumping  
18 Stations. We have copies of these  
19 paint-by-the-numbers done by Tom Brueckner -- and  
20 pretty straightforward easements process. Paul,  
21 you want to just kind of discuss.

22 MR. PINAULT: We hired Beta  
23 Engineering from Lincoln to work on these two  
24 pumping stations. The Washington Highway Pumping  
0018

1 Station in Lincoln on Route 116 just west of the  
2 Route 146 interchange.

3 That station's very old, and it was  
4 determined that it should be replaced. That  
5 station will be replaced adjacent to the existing  
6 station on land currently owned by Rhode Island  
7 DOT. Also, as part of that project, DOT replaced  
8 on our behalf two force mains right off Route 116  
9 as part of that reconstruction of that road, and  
10 we're paying them for that, so we need to acquire  
11 the land necessary to build a new station from  
12 DOT, and the cost for that is \$53,204 based upon  
13 an appraisal that was done by DOT.

14 For the Omega Pond Pumping Station, which is  
15 located in East Providence just south of Omega  
16 Pond, that station has been rehabbed prior to  
17 this, but it needs some additional work, and the  
18 main thing is we need to replace the pressurized  
19 force main from the building under Omega Pond up  
20 along the electrical right-of-way.

21 We have done two appraisals and subsequent  
22 review appraisal, which follows our standard

23 practice. The review appraiser agreed with the  
24 Integra approach versus the Andolfo approach;  
0019

1 and, of course, it is our policy to go with the  
2 review appraiser's recommendation. So basically,  
3 they are recommending \$59,900 in temporary  
4 easements and \$52,870 for permanent easements for  
5 a total of 112,770. Those are in the colored  
6 maps. In yellow, are the temporary easements for  
7 construction, and the red are the permanent  
8 easements, and the first two sheets are for the  
9 Omega Pond Pumping Station. The last sheet is  
10 for the Washington Highway Pumping Station.

11 Resolution 2005:08 is a standard resolution  
12 recommending acquisition of these necessary  
13 easements. The ones near Omega Pond are mostly  
14 owned by the City of Providence, including the  
15 land under Omega Pond; and there are some other  
16 minor owners of some small property.

17 These are all subject to approval by the  
18 state Properties Committee. This project is  
19 ready to go out to bid, and this is just the next  
20 step in moving this project along.

21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. In such  
22 case, Chairman moves passage of Resolution  
23 2005:08.

24 MR. MacQUEEN: Second.

0020

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: Second by  
2 Commissioner MacQueen. Further discussion?  
3 Further discussion? Hearing none, all of those  
4 that are in favor will say "aye." Are there any  
5 opposed? There are none opposed, and the motion  
6 carries.

7 Next order of business is Item C, Resolution  
8 2005:09. It's an Authorization to Amend Facility  
9 Amendment Plan for Biological Nutrient Removal.  
10 In keeping with the comments of Dr. Burroughs --  
11 that's contained in your packet. Paul, proceed  
12 with an explanation of that change.

13 MR. PINAULT: Back in 2001, we had  
14 met with DEM to talk about nutrient removal at  
15 Field's Point and their total maximum daily load  
16 for nutrients for the Providence River and the  
17 Upper Bay. It was agreed that we would do a  
18 facility plan looking at a number of options to

19 achieve nutrient removal at Field's Point,  
20 basically looking at 8 milligrams per liter  
21 seasonal, 8 milligrams per liter year round, and  
22 5 milligrams per liter seasonal and year round.

23 We held up to our end of the bargain. We  
24 completed the facilities plan, I think it was two  
0021

1 years ago, but DEM was unable to finish the TMDL.  
2 They couldn't do it; so basically, we've been on  
3 hold for two years. In the meantime, we've done  
4 some other supplemental work, including the pilot  
5 study last year from March to November of the  
6 Hydroxyl system, which is an innovative new  
7 technology which proves to be very feasible for  
8 our facility; so what we're proposing now is to  
9 amend the contract to wrap up the facility plan  
10 to factor in the Hydroxyl study plus other  
11 information that we have while we're negotiating  
12 our new permit limits with DEM. The estimated  
13 amount of money used to wrap things up is  
14 \$115,000, and that's our plain answer to  
15 Resolution 2005:09. Did that answer your  
16 question, Dr. Burroughs?

17 DR. BURROUGHS: Yes.

18 MR. CHAIRMAN: And of that 115,000  
19 that out-of-scope work is included in that?

20 MR. PINAULT: Yes.

21 MR. CHAIRMAN: According to the  
22 memo, \$29,111. Therefore I move the Resolution  
23 2005:09. Do I have a second? Commissioner  
24 Montanari seconds the motion. Is there further  
0022

1 discussion on Resolution 2005:09? Commissioner  
2 Campbell.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Relative to this new  
4 technology, is it possible to use this technology  
5 at Bucklin Point at some future date?

6 MR. PINAULT: Yes, and I can have  
7 Tom Brueckner, our Chief Engineer, address that,  
8 but the technology that's been designed is being  
9 built -- or has been built. It just hasn't been  
10 put on line. Camp, Dresser & McKee is tried and  
11 proven technology, which we feel can at least  
12 meet eight, and we feel optimistic it can do  
13 better than eight. Whether or not it can meet  
14 five, we won't know until we actually run it for

15 a year or two; but if for some reason it doesn't  
16 meet five, and we're forced to go to five, my  
17 understanding is that we could use the Hydroxyl  
18 technology, or something similar to it, at a  
19 fairly minimal additional cost -- I say minimal,  
20 \$10 million or so. Is that correct Tom?

21 MR. BRUECKNER: Yes, Paul, and in  
22 addition --

23 MR. PINAULT: Tom, can you come  
24 forward so the stenographer can hear you?

0023

1 MR. BRUECKNER: The other thing we  
2 did when we looked -- at the distribution box --  
3 add additional tankage -- Hydroxyl. Basically  
4 what the Hydroxyl does is provide you with more  
5 surface area more bacterial growth within  
6 existing tankage, so it's real advantage is you  
7 just put this media in to provide a surface for  
8 this bacteria to grow on.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: There was a  
10 presentation about that technology six months ago  
11 or so?

12 MR. BRUECKNER: Yes, there was.

13 MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. I  
14 missed something. We have a motion to approve  
15 Resolution 2005:09. Further discussion? Hearing  
16 none, all of those that are in favor will say  
17 "aye." Are there any opposed? There are none  
18 opposed, and the motion carries.

19 Next order of business is Resolution  
20 2005:10, Contract 01:302.10C, which is Outfall  
21 032. It's a recommendation for an increase in  
22 the Limit for Change Orders. Where's Joe with  
23 his drawing?

24 MR. PINAULT: Joe, could you

0024

1 please come to the end of the table?

2 MR. CHAIRMAN: Joe, bring it up  
3 here for your explanation. I'm particularly fond  
4 of your glacier explanation.

5 MR. PINAULT: This work is being  
6 done on Charles Street. There's about 1900 feet  
7 of pipe that comes from the car wash, Kelly's Car  
8 Wash south along Charles Street behind the Orms  
9 Building, Channel 6, and Department of Health and  
10 then along the east side of the railroad

11 embankment down to Smith Street. So we have a  
12 diversion structure and a drop shaft. The work  
13 is about 80 percent complete. It's microtunneled  
14 in soil rather than open cutting from the surface  
15 to about 30 feet deep to try and minimize  
16 disruption on the traffic in the area, and we'll  
17 turn it over to Joe.

18 MR. PRATT: I hope you can see  
19 this. At the top is a picture if you were  
20 standing on the east side of Charles Street  
21 looking to the west, this would be the Channel 6  
22 Building closest to me. This is the Marriott  
23 Hotel over this end. The area in question is  
24 shown by this portion of the drawing, and then we  
0025

1 expanded down here to a different scale.

2 In microtunneling you have a small machine  
3 tunneling through the soil and it has pipe being  
4 pushed behind it. This depicts the pipe. It's  
5 48 inches in diameter. A couple of weeks ago  
6 they hit an obstruction, a rock or ledge. They  
7 attempted to push through the rock. The machine  
8 was designed for soil. They had a lot of  
9 trouble.

10 They dug two relief pits down and broke up  
11 the rock to the best of their ability. They were  
12 able to get the head of the machine through the  
13 rock or ledge. The machine is 23 feet long. The  
14 machine hung up on the rock or ledge. I say rock  
15 or ledge because it could be just a huge bolder.  
16 What we have do now is dig a relief pit so we  
17 would pile the -- on the side, and go down so we  
18 can rescue the machine, take it out, take it to  
19 the other end where we have a tunneling pit, and  
20 tunnel back so we can put the pipe in this way.  
21 We'll probably put a manhole in here and connect  
22 it by that hole.

23 The money in question is approval to cover  
24 what we believe is sufficient funds to cover this  
0026

1 change. We did do borings in this area. We had  
2 a boring coming out of the street towards you  
3 right about here. It went down 32 feet. There  
4 was no rock. We had another boring back here --  
5 actually almost in front of the Channel 6  
6 Building about 80 to 90 feet away. It went down

7 50 feet with no rock, so we didn't expect this.  
8 This was a different type -- an unknown  
9 situation.

10 When we're done at the other end, we will  
11 microtunnel the last few hundred feet that we  
12 have to do to complete this. The reason we chose  
13 microtunneling is to get under the utilities of  
14 which there are an unbelievable amount of  
15 utilities on Charles Street.

16 What the Chairman was talking about was how  
17 did a boulder get here? When the glacier was  
18 moving back and forth, it pushed the boulders  
19 forward and they would kind of drop off at the  
20 front of the glacier and burrow down, and I guess  
21 that's what happened. I was not here when the  
22 glacier was doing it.

23 MR. CHAIRMAN: You were the one  
24 who raised the glacier theory.

0027

1 MR. SALVADORE: I like that  
2 glacier theory.

3 MR. CHAIRMAN: Are there any  
4 questions? Commissioner Cruise.

5 MR. CRUISE: How much time we  
6 talking? Delay?

7 MR. PRATT: Right now we're  
8 looking at between 45 and 70 days. We're trying  
9 to figure out ways that we can do other tasks to  
10 reduce the time delay down to 45 days. It's not  
11 just a question of digging this out. You can go  
12 and do other tasks and get them completed so you  
13 can save time on the other end. Right now this  
14 contract is scheduled to be completed  
15 approximately the end of July. That would extend  
16 us into September. That's what we think we're  
17 looking at right now.

18 MR. CRUISE: Mr. Chairman, I just  
19 wanted to point out that Mike Kelly of Kelly's  
20 Car Wash is my neighbor, and I hear from him  
21 almost every week.

22 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I receive  
23 more calls from people in that area than we have  
24 received on any other project. Everyone's

0028

1 called. Unfortunately, it's a necessary evil.  
2 Does this impact the overall schedule; and, Joe,

3 how does it impact the overall schedule?

4 MR. PRATT: That's what I was  
5 talking about -- 45 to 70 days. We're trying to  
6 get it done in 45 days. We're doing some other  
7 work that we can accomplish at this time.

8 MR. CHAIRMAN: Are there any other  
9 questions?

10 DR. BURROUGHS: Just one quick  
11 one: Did they ever do any seismic work to try to  
12 and look for rocks?

13 MR. PRATT: Seismic work when  
14 you're dealing with 45 to 50 feet, it's just a  
15 blur of ink. It doesn't really tell you very  
16 much. The feedback is very poor from that.  
17 Yeah, we did do seismic work; and the only time  
18 it was exact was when we went out and did a  
19 boring.

20 MR. PINAULT: We did two borings  
21 within 80 feet of this.

22 MR. PRATT: We did borings much  
23 closer than we normally do. Seismic work for  
24 that type of -- you get a lot of false echoes and  
0029

1 things that you have to go out and do a test with  
2 a boring, but we did a lot of seismic work here.

3 The other problem with seismic work in an  
4 urban area, you can't use explosives, and you get  
5 a much better reading when you use small  
6 explosives. It's not the best method in the  
7 world.

8 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you,  
9 Professor. Further explanation, I was quite  
10 surprised myself, Dr. Burroughs, because I asked  
11 the questions on why they planned to do the  
12 borings 80 feet apart. I mean it's just one of  
13 those things.

14 So having heard the explanation, do we have  
15 a motion to approve resolution --

16 MR. SALVADORE: Move to approve.

17 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you,  
18 Commissioner Salvadore. Seconded by Commissioner  
19 DiChiro and Commissioner Montanari. Further  
20 discussion? Further discussion? Hearing none,  
21 all of those that are in favor will say "aye."  
22 Are there any opposed? None opposed. Motion  
23 carries; but before we go on to the next item, on

24 the back page, on that matter is a summary of  
0030

1 where we are in terms of project cost. I was in  
2 discussion briefly a moment ago with the  
3 Executive Director, and you can see where we are  
4 in terms of project cost, and where we are in  
5 terms of the percentage of increase for this  
6 project and --

7 MR. PINAULT: That's all our  
8 construction projects, CSO, Bucklin Point,  
9 ongoing projects.

10 MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, all of our  
11 ongoing projects, and we are about 1.4 percent  
12 over budget at this time, and we are trying to do  
13 some calculations --

14 MR. PINAULT: Not over budget.  
15 Over the low bid amount.

16 MR. CHAIRMAN: Over the low bid  
17 amount; and in the aggregate, I guess we're about  
18 72, 74 percent complete, so we're running at  
19 below expectations, so I'm very pleased with  
20 that.

21 Moving right along to Item E. Review and  
22 Approval of Resolution 2005:11, which is  
23 Authority to Surplus Narragansett Bay  
24 Commission-owned Property Bounded by Calverly  
0031

1 Street, Arline Street, Okie and Bath Streets.

2 This is a project matter which we've been  
3 discussing for about a year and a half; and as I  
4 explained to the Committee, when Representative  
5 McCauley was on the Commission, there was some  
6 issues with regard to conveying the property to  
7 the City of Providence. The City of Providence  
8 has approached us to acquire this property for  
9 purposes of the city's own Economic Development  
10 Program along with job maintenance in the city.

11 One of the abutting owners which is Quality  
12 Beef is presently occupying some of Narragansett  
13 Bay's Commission space for parking, and he would  
14 be interested in, I guess, through the Providence  
15 Redevelopment Agency, in acquiring this property  
16 for purposes of expanding his operation.

17 So what we're asking for in this resolution  
18 is authority for the Executive Director and  
19 Chairman to negotiate the final terms and

20 conditions of the purchase and sales agreement.  
21 We did have the property appraised. The property  
22 was appraised at \$210,000. We -- on the  
23 historical preservation?

24 MR. PINAULT: Yeah. We the  
0032

1 building -- if you go to the last two pages of  
2 the handout, there are two colored photos. The  
3 last page at the top shows an old building. That  
4 is the original Department of Transportation  
5 headquarters that was built in 1927. It's on the  
6 register of historic buildings, so that's on the  
7 property. We were not allowed by the Historic  
8 Preservation Office to tear that building down  
9 even though we didn't need it. Fortunately, we  
10 could work around that; but we have an agreement  
11 with them that if we ever wanted to do something  
12 in that area, we would have to restore the  
13 building; or if we sold the property, that  
14 historic easements would transfer to the new  
15 owner, and part of the deal proposed here is that  
16 they were planning to restore that building and  
17 use it as part of their business and meet all of  
18 the State Historic Preservation Office  
19 requirements.

20 The lower portion of the photo is a  
21 three-stall garage that was in very good shape.  
22 We tore everything else down on the property.  
23 The old DOT Maintenance Facility -- they had a  
24 number of sheds where they would make up their  
0033

1 signs and store the signs for the roads. If you  
2 go to the page just prior to that, it shows a  
3 planned view of the area. We have Promenade  
4 Street along the bottom. Bath Street right at  
5 the corner that little rectangular brown building  
6 is Higher Education. That's on the west end of  
7 the Foundry site. As you come up -- everything  
8 you see in green that's shaped like the letter  
9 "N" was what we acquired from DOT. What you see  
10 crosshatched adjacent to Quality Beef is the  
11 property in question. At the upper end is where  
12 that building is. On the page behind it is the  
13 old DOT property, and at the lower end is where  
14 the garage is.

15 So they basically want to take the whole

16 block. We're using that site right now. Walsh  
17 Construction, which is doing the work near Higher  
18 Ed and Promenade Street, is using that site for  
19 his trailer and storage of his equipment during  
20 construction. He should be out of there by the  
21 end of this year. The rest of the site that you  
22 see in green that is not crosshatched is being  
23 utilized by the tunnel contractor. He has  
24 constructed an exit shaft, which is that dark  
0034

1 green rectangle with a circle in the middle.  
2 We anticipate that the tunnel boring machine  
3 will reach that point by October of this year.  
4 Right now they are -- about 9600 feet out of  
5 16,000 feet has been bored. They are up to  
6 Route 195 right on Eddy street, so if you go up  
7 Allens Avenue past Davol Square on Eddy where you  
8 get to the on-ramp of 195, that's where they are  
9 today. We anticipate they will be coming out of  
10 this pit around October of this year. Then the  
11 machine will be taken out in pieces after its  
12 done; and once we're done with construction, what  
13 you see in light green, we don't need all of that  
14 land. We'll probably have to surplus more of  
15 that. All we really need is a minor access to  
16 the dark green rectangle for maintenance to the  
17 upper end of the tunnel because everything else  
18 would be just graded.

19 There's been some construction indicating --  
20 in the neighborhood. They may want to use that  
21 for a ball field or a soccer field or something  
22 like that. That site will be tied up until 2008,  
23 so we're not anticipating any action on that  
24 until then.

0035

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: So basically, our  
2 attorneys are working on the nuances of the  
3 purchase and sales agreement, which would include  
4 future easements which are necessary for Bay  
5 Commission purposes as well as certain deed  
6 restrictions to ensure the property is being used  
7 for the purpose of which it was intended or  
8 represented and other nuances, which we expect to  
9 have in the next week or two, and then we would  
10 move forward with the conveyance subject to the  
11 purchase and appraised price of 210,000 plus

12 affiliated costs of preparations. So we are  
13 interested in getting your approval for  
14 Resolution 2005:11. We have motion by  
15 Commissioner DiChiro and seconded by Commissioner  
16 Montanaro.

17 MR. PINAULT: I would like to  
18 mention one thing. It was brought to my  
19 attention after the CEO Committee that because  
20 this land was purchased with Bay bonds under our  
21 trust indenture agreement, we have to make sure  
22 we are in compliance with all those requirements.  
23 I would recommend that this resolution also be  
24 conditional upon satisfying all requirements in  
0036

1 the trust indenture agreement.

2 MR. CHAIRMAN: Move approval for  
3 that additional amendment. Okay. Further  
4 discussion? Further discussion? In such case,  
5 all those in favor of Resolution 2005 will say  
6 "aye." Are there any opposed? There are none  
7 opposed, and that motion carries. That concludes  
8 the business of the Construction, Engineering, &  
9 Operations Committee. The next committee  
10 reporting is the Personnel Committee.  
11 Commissioner Montanaro, do you have a report for  
12 us today?

13 MS. MONTANARO: Yes, I do, Mr.  
14 Chairman. We did meet this morning, and ask  
15 passage of Resolution 2005:06, which is an  
16 amendment of the Narragansett Bay Commission --  
17 an amendment of the Nonunion Defined Benefit  
18 Plan, which is attached to this document.

19 Apparently there are five items that require  
20 -- five modifications to the original plan that  
21 required some explanation, and that was presented  
22 to us at the Personnel Committee. It was also  
23 approved at that point, and we are now offering a  
24 motion to approve by the full Board Resolution  
0037

1 2005:06, Amendment of the Narragansett Bay  
2 Commission Nonunion Defined Benefit Plan.

3 MR. CHAIRMAN: There is a motion  
4 for approval of Resolution 2005:06. Is there a  
5 second?

6 MR. SALVADORE: So moved.

7 MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner

8 Salvadore seconded the motion. Is there further  
9 explanation required Commissioner Montanari?

10 MR. MONTANARI: No. I say if it's  
11 all right with Commissioner Cruise, it's all  
12 right with me.

13 MR. CHAIRMAN: Then I think we  
14 should have a brief explanation of what those  
15 minor modifications are. Who do I --

16 MR. PINAULT: First of all, I  
17 would just like to mention, like I did at  
18 Personnel, that this particular topic has had  
19 some controversy over the last months as you're  
20 all aware of, and there were a number of  
21 questions. The PUC opened a docket, and they had  
22 several technical meetings on the plan. They had  
23 a number of questions.

24 Back in March, we sent to all Commissioners  
0038

1 a copy of the report that we feel hopefully  
2 addresses all of the PUC's concerns; and as noted  
3 in the plan, the plan is a healthy plan looking  
4 out 10 years, which is what we were asked to do,  
5 and I think the reason it is healthy is that we  
6 took great pains in coming up with a plan that  
7 was very conservative, such as things with no  
8 cost-of-living adjustment and all the other  
9 things that we included in the plan.

10 I mentioned in February when I sent  
11 something else out to brief the Board -- this was  
12 in the March 20 memo -- that we were considering  
13 some enhancements to the plan or fine tuning, as  
14 the Chairman mentioned, to make the plan even  
15 better. Those are outlined in the attached memo  
16 from Tillinghast & Licht and from Dan Waugh, who  
17 is here today. He is our counsel. He's worked  
18 with us in developing the plan, and there are  
19 basically five items, which are fairly minor.

20 First of all, the plan year is revised to be  
21 a calendar year rather than a fiscal year. We're  
22 requiring mandatory participation for any  
23 eligible employees performing service after  
24 February 1, '05, which was the implementation  
0039

1 date of the plan. If you recall, the original  
2 set of employees were given an option up until  
3 the end of January whether or not they wanted to

4 opt in or out; and 100, I believe, out of 111  
5 opted in at that time out of those that were  
6 eligible.

7 Item 3 is on credit for service. As many of  
8 -- many of our employees are hired as a unionized  
9 employee. Then as time goes on, they may be  
10 promoted to a position that's nonunion; and if  
11 they're not vested in the state retirement plan,  
12 then we can give them credit for that time, and  
13 this is just a qualification to make sure there  
14 is no double counting of credit.

15 Fourth is, if an employee is on leave  
16 without pay for some reason, to make sure that he  
17 gets the contributions due to us; and fifth is  
18 clarification about the separate trust agreement  
19 for this particular plan, and I'll defer to  
20 Counsel or Karen if you have any specific  
21 questions on those changes, but they're all  
22 fairly minor.

23 MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner  
24 Kimball.

0040

1 MR. KIMBALL: Paul, is there any  
2 projected estimate of the cost for Item No. 3,  
3 the Past-service Costing?

4 MR. PINAULT: Karen, the question  
5 is: Do we have any cost estimates on -- for Item  
6 3, the credit for unionized employees past  
7 service?

8 MS. GIEBINK: That would actually  
9 limit -- Dan could probably address this  
10 better --

11 MR. PINAULT: Could you both come  
12 forward, so the stenographer can hear you.

13 MS. GIEBINK: Basically, the way  
14 the plan was written originally, if you switched  
15 from union to nonunion status, you could get  
16 credit for past service because that's when we  
17 were starting up the plan in February. In the  
18 future, you get credit for vesting services. I'd  
19 like Dan to go ahead and jump in if anything I'm  
20 saying needs further clarification or correction.

21 My understanding is, when the plan was  
22 originally established in February of 2005, if  
23 you had an employee that had switched from union  
24 to nonunion status, we would give them credit for

0041

1 past service if they had not invested in the  
2 state system; so if they had worked five years as  
3 a union employee and had two years as a nonunion  
4 employee, they would get credit for all seven.  
5 We are clarifying it now in this amendment. They  
6 get credit for service, but they are not going to  
7 be given an accrued benefit for those years.

8 MR. KIMBALL: So, Karen, the  
9 initial estimate of about 2.9 million past  
10 service liability doesn't change?

11 MS. GIEBINK: Right. That's the  
12 intention of this.

13 MR. WAUGH: Just to clarify  
14 slightly what Karen said -- this is Dan Waugh --  
15 what this does do is it does allow -- it does  
16 count as participation for purposes of benefit  
17 accrual. Service as a union member if the union  
18 member did not vest in the state pension plan,  
19 but only if the particular employee became a  
20 participant in the plan on February 1.

21 MS. GIEBINK: Saying the same  
22 thing. So if you were in before February 1, you  
23 get credit; and if you were not, you don't.

24 MR. KIMBALL: So the original

0042

1 estimate of 2.9 doesn't change?

2 MS. GIEBINK: Right. We hadn't  
3 built that into the plan.

4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner  
5 Montanari.

6 MR. MONTANARI: I have a question.  
7 If you were a union member in the union plan, and  
8 then you went to the nonunion plan -- the money  
9 you had stashed, would you take those moneys and  
10 invest it into the nonunion pension?

11 MS. GIEBINK: If you were vested  
12 in the state system, then you can't count those  
13 years of service toward the NBC'S plan. You  
14 would stay vested; and then once you came over  
15 then you would start having --

16 MR. MONTANARI: What would they do  
17 with the moneys they've already invested?

18 MS. GIEBINK: If they were  
19 vested --

20 MR. CHAIRMAN: So essentially they

21 are participating in two separate pension plans?

22 MS. GIEBINK: Right. I believe  
23 there are only two employees that meet that  
24 criteria.

0043

1 MR. MONTANARI: My next question,  
2 if I may? Upon retirement -- in your retirement  
3 plan, do you have three choices or what choices  
4 do you have?

5 MS. GIEBINK: In terms of  
6 benefits?

7 MR. MONTANARI: Exactly.

8 MS. GIEBINK: They're all,  
9 basically, all actuarial equivalents, so there  
10 are different benefits available.

11 MR. MONTANARI: Are they outlined  
12 in the pension plan? I mean, I haven't looked at  
13 the plan.

14 MS. GIEBINK: In legalese they  
15 are.

16 MR. MONTANARI: Thank you.

17 MR. CHAIRMAN: Is there further  
18 discussion on this motion? Further discussion  
19 on Resolution 2005:06? Hearing none, all of  
20 those that are in favor will say "aye." Are  
21 there any opposed? There are none opposed, and  
22 the motion carries. Do you have a further  
23 report, Commissioner Montanaro?

24 MS. MONTANARO: No report.

0044

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: No report. Moving  
2 right along, Legislative Committee.

3 MR. PINAULT: In the packet is a  
4 2005 Legislative Update prepared by Joanne  
5 Maceroni, our Government Affairs Manager; and it  
6 basically just updates the list from last month  
7 of all of the bills that we are tracking because  
8 some of them we have a position on. We either  
9 support, some we oppose, and others are still  
10 under staff review, and others we have no  
11 position; but basically, we are tracking a number  
12 of bills and providing testimony as necessary.  
13 If anyone has any questions, I believe Joanne is  
14 here, or I could try and answer them.

15 MR. CHAIRMAN: Questions?  
16 Commissioner Lazieh.

17 MR. LAZIEH: Mr. Chairman, in  
18 previous group of bills or other group of bills,  
19 are there any legislation which would adversely  
20 affect the Board?

21 MR. PINAULT: Adversely affect the  
22 Board?

23 MR. LAZIEH: The Board or the Bay  
24 Commission Operations other than what's operating  
0045

1 now?

2 MR. PINAULT: Obviously, the ones  
3 we oppose would adversely affect NBC, we feel.  
4 Let me pick one as an example. On Page 3,  
5 H 6001, this bill would require that a tenant  
6 obtain and maintain utilities and it prohibits  
7 utility companies from assigning tenant debt to  
8 landlords. We oppose that and even talked to  
9 Representative McCauley about it because we get  
10 caught up because we're a utility, and you have  
11 specific reasons to do that; but we basically can  
12 only collect money from the owner of the  
13 property, and we have no way of going after the  
14 tenant, and we had a Supreme Court case that was  
15 ruled a number of years ago on this issue.

16 We had a situation where a person owned a  
17 piece of commercial property and leased it to  
18 someone; and in their lease, it made the tenant  
19 pay the utility bills, and they never paid us,  
20 and they owed us \$14,000. Then the tenant moved  
21 out, and we went after the owner of the building  
22 because he never did any due diligence to make  
23 sure the utility bills were being paid, and we  
24 wound up collecting our money ultimately. So  
0046

1 that's one we oppose, and there's a couple of  
2 others. Overtime pay, S 0639 paid twice the  
3 hourly rate for hours worked in excess of 45  
4 hours instead of time and a half, and so on and  
5 so forth. But specifically impacting you as a  
6 Commissioner, I don't believe there are any that  
7 we have seen.

8 MR. LAZIEH: What -- in regards to  
9 Separation of Powers, the latest version of  
10 bills, what is the status possibly?

11 MR. PINAULT: In the Senate they,  
12 I believe, re-introduced the bill they approved

13 last year which was, I believe, Senator Lenihan's  
14 bill. What it proposes to NBC is to just reduce  
15 the number of members from 23 to 19, eliminating  
16 the four legislators and also changing the quorum  
17 from twelve to ten. That's the second bill down  
18 on the first sheet --

19 MR. LAZIEH: Also would that  
20 include--

21 MR. PINAULT: -- it also proposes,  
22 I believe, that all appointments including  
23 municipal advice and consent. On the House side,  
24 we understand that they are considering something  
0047

1 different. They are looking at changing maybe  
2 the make up of some of the appointments. Whether  
3 they add additional municipal appointments,  
4 that's still being discussed. There's nothing in  
5 writing. We've had a few phone calls from them,  
6 so I don't know what they are going to propose on  
7 the House side, but it appears that they may be  
8 proposing something different than the Senate,  
9 and obviously that will have to be worked out  
10 between the two bodies, so that's all we know.

11 MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioner  
12 Cruise.

13 MR. CRUISE: We're actually  
14 meeting with the House today to discuss some of  
15 these bills. So several weeks down the road  
16 there is discussion -- to there has been  
17 discussion about making a Board like NBC have  
18 appointments solely made up of municipal members  
19 -- municipalities; and in that the state doesn't  
20 have a real involvement in the operation of the  
21 Narragansett Bay Commission and that given that  
22 we may pay off the 1980 bond issues, which is as  
23 I understand the last remaining state involvement  
24 with us. That argument -- the argument made by  
0048

1 the other side that it has a state interest is  
2 pretty much negated, so the idea of making this a  
3 Super-Regional Sewer Authority is something that  
4 is under active discussion -- made up of only  
5 municipal appointments.

6 MR. CHAIRMAN: But there's no  
7 legislation in that regard, right?

8 MR. CRUISE: No. We'll work it

9 out; and once that's all worked out, we'll  
10 introduce a bill.

11 MR. CHAIRMAN: That would -- I'm  
12 assuming that's we would be for all quasi's.

13 MR. CRUISE: The way -- the best  
14 way to do it, we're going to pass Separation of  
15 Powers enabling legislation on all the Boards and  
16 Commissions at least that the legislature can  
17 agree on with the executive branch, which is a  
18 vast majority of these the boards. Once that's  
19 done, we're going to address the Boards where  
20 there's a division or difference of opinion.

21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Where was I?  
22 Legislative, any other questions regarding the  
23 legislative issues? Rules and Regulations  
24 Committee.

0049

1 MR. PINAULT: No report.

2 MR. CHAIRMAN: No report. Ad Hoc  
3 Storm Water Rate Committee.

4 MR. PERKINS: No report.

5 MR. CHAIRMAN: No report.

6 Citizen's Advisory. There he is.

7 MR. GADON: We had a meeting of  
8 March 30th with a good group of 22 in attendance.  
9 The attraction was Angelo Liberti, Chief of Water  
10 Resources for DEM, who was invited to give us an  
11 update on the proposed N2 limits for the Bay.  
12 Angelo showed a Power Point presentation,  
13 attempting to justify a 5 mg/l limit for N2. The  
14 CAC was favoring the NBC's proposed 8 mg/l limit.  
15 Angelo was steadfast in insisting that 5 mg/l is  
16 what the DEM will be pressing for. The CAC, of  
17 course, favored the eight; and it was hoped the  
18 NBC staff will continue advocating for a more  
19 favorable mg/l limit. The discussion on the  
20 permit limits took practically the whole meeting  
21 time.

22 We wish to thank the Chairman for appointing  
23 Tim Baker of Warwick to the CAC. I'd like to  
24 just point out something Ray Marshall told us at

0050

1 one of the meetings in respect to the big  
2 rainfalls. The initial flush is what carries --  
3 and that gets treated. As the rain continues,  
4 the water is not as polluted as it originally

5 was; and that helped, as Paul said, contribute to  
6 the fast clean up of the Bay.

7 We were scheduled to hear from NBC  
8 Pretreatment on their record and the Annual  
9 Report for last year. Due to the time  
10 constraints, it was rescheduled to our next  
11 meeting on May 4th, and we thank you.

12 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Harold.  
13 Thank you very much. Our next committee, the  
14 Executive Committee, on behalf of that committee,  
15 the Chairman's Report, there was no Executive  
16 Committee meeting, but the Chairman's -- the  
17 purpose of the Chairman's Report is very brief.

18 I'd like the Commissioners to note that our  
19 next meeting is scheduled for May 11. I would  
20 like to announce that you all have an  
21 announcement here that you can join the fun. We  
22 have -- Friday we have scheduled the  
23 Woonasquatucket River Clean-up Program. It's on  
24 Friday April 22nd scheduled between 9 A.M. and  
0051

1 1 P.M. I'm sure Mike will be there --  
2 Commissioner Salvadore will be there with his  
3 camera -- his trusty camera to record the events.

4 MR. SALVADORE: And for Tom Uva's  
5 lunch.

6 MR. CHAIRMAN: And -- exactly  
7 right. The last announcement I have is that you  
8 may recall that -- how long ago was it when we  
9 did some video in here?

10 MR. PINAULT: Three or four months  
11 ago.

12 MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, three or four  
13 months ago we did some taping for the production  
14 of a CSO video, and part of that video was  
15 matters taken up by the Board. I have not seen  
16 it yet, so I'm going to risk by doing this --  
17 there is going to be a we'll call it a  
18 "pre-screening event" at the conclusion of the  
19 meeting. It's about a fifteen-minute tape. If  
20 you care to stick around we are a going to show  
21 it before it goes to final editing. So if you  
22 see that your hair's not combed or your tie is  
23 crooked, we'll take that out. So if you care to  
24 stick around for that, it would be great. Other

0052

1 than that, there's no further report.  
2 Next order of business is New Business. Is  
3 there any new business to come before the  
4 Commission? Any new business? There is no new  
5 business? Other business of any kind? Other  
6 business?

7 Before I move on to adjournment, I would  
8 just like to say thank you very much for your  
9 vote of confidence in re-electing me as Chairman.  
10 We have some exciting things planned this year,  
11 and I'll be obviously looking for your continued  
12 support and input; and having said that,  
13 Commissioner DiChiro, do you have a motion?

14 MR. DiCHIRO: Move to adjourn.

15 MR. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to  
16 adjourn. Seconded by Commissioner Montanaro.  
17 All of those that are in favor will say "aye."  
18 Are there any opposed? There are none opposed,  
19 and we are adjourned.

20 (MEETING ADJOURNED AT 12:05 P.M.)

21

22

23

24

0053

C E R T I F I C A T E

1

2

3

4

5 I, Shayla N. Fagnoli, Notary Public, do  
6 hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the  
7 foregoing proceedings, and that the foregoing  
8 transcript contains a true, accurate, and  
9 complete record of the proceedings at the  
10 above-entitled hearing.

11

12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my  
13 hand this 6th day of April, 2005.

14

15

16

17

18 SHAYLA N. FARGNOLI, NOTARY PUBLIC/COURT REPORTER  
19 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 6, 2005.

20